BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

MEETING DATE: 8/18/04	DIVISION: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
BULK ITEM: YES	DEPARTMENT: AIRPORTS
	Service Order with URS for an Environmental Assessment and for Runway 9/27, at the Key West International Airport.
	5% by the Federal Aviation Administration, and 2.5% each by the Facility Charge Revenue. FAA required Independent Fee Estimate
PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOCC ACTION: Approval 2003.	to submit Passenger Facility Charge Application # 7, February 19,
CONTRACT/AGREEMENT CHANGES: New agree	ement
STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval	
TOTAL COST: \$930,816.00	BUDGETED: Yes
COST TO AIRPORT: None COST TO PFC: \$23,270.40 COST TO COUNTY: None	SOURCE OF FUNDS: FAA, FDOT, PFC Revenue
REVENUE PRODUCING: No	AMOUNT PER MONTH /YEAR:
APPROVED BY: County Attorney X OMB/Pur	chasing N/A Risk Management N/A
DIRECTOR OF AIRPORTS APPROVAL	Peter J. Harton
DOCUMENTATION: Included X To	Follow Not Required
	AGENDA ITEM # C29
DISPOSITION:	
/bev APB	

MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CONTRACT SUMMARY Contract # Effective Date: Execution Contract with: URS Expiration Date: 750 days Contract Purpose/Description: Environmental Assessment & Permitting for development of Runway Safety Areas for Runway 9/27, at the Key West International Airport # 5195 Airports - Stop # 5 Contract Manager: Bevette Moore (Department/Courier Stop) (Ext.) (name) for BOCC meeting on: 8/18/04 Agenda Deadline: 8/3/04 CONTRACT COSTS Total Dollar Value of Contract: 930,816.00 Current Year Portion: ~ 15,000.00 Budgeted? Yes Account Codes: 404-63095-530490-GAKA92 Grant: Yes, FAA & FDOT County Match: PFC Revenue **ADDITIONAL COSTS** Estimated Ongoing Costs: N/A (not included in dollar value above) For: . (eg. maintenance, utilities, janitorial, salaries, etc.)

	Date In	Changes Needed Yes No	Reviewer	Date Out
Airports Director	7/22/04	() () 	Peter Horton	7/22/04
Risk Management	//	() ()	1/A Pw OMB for Risk Management	//
O.M.B./Purchasing		()()	of this wanagement	//
County Attorney		()()	Tedho Mencado County Attorney	7/21/04
Comments:			County / Morney	
				

PURCHASE / SERVICE ORDER

FOR

MONROE COUNTY

To: <u>URS</u>	Purchase Service Order No. 03/04-5
Re: PSA Agreement, Dated 1-1-02	Resolution No.
Project Name: <u>Key West International-Environn</u>	nental Assessment/Permitting
Description of Services: (See attached scope of services)	
Multiple of Direct Salaries	
Lump Sum X	Reimbursable Expense
Days to Complete 750	Fee this Service Order \$930,816.00
Payment for Services shall be in their entirety as pe	er PSO.
Prepared by: Milford A. Reisert	Recommended by:
Date: 5-28-04	Date: 775-04
Accepted by:	Approved by:
(Cu) dien	
Date: 5 28 04	Date:
BY DATE	APPROVED AS TO FORM Tim Filter Time Tim

N VURSIDEIDRESICSOSSOS SEPOSSIBLANKSO, DOC

SCOPE OF SERVICES

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND PERMITTING

FOR THE

DEVELOPMENT OF RUNWAY SAFETY AREAS FOR RUNWAY 9/27

AΤ

KEY WEST INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

URS CORPORATION

The Monroe County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) has proposed runway safety area (RSA) improvements at the Key West International Airport (EYW) which require environmental analysis, coordination and documentation which conform with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Airport Environmental Handbook, Order 5050.4A. This environmental analysis will be accomplished in the form of an Environmental Assessment (EA).

The proposed RSA improvements will also require the issuance of state and federal environmental permits for likely encroachments into jurisdictional areas regulated under the Clean Water Act and other State and Federal laws and regulations. Preparation of permit applications will be required as part of project development.

The BOCC has selected a consultant team lead by URS Corporation (URS) to assist the EYW in the preparation of the required documents. This Scope of Services sets forth the work efforts required to prepare the Draft and Final EA and the permit applications for the proposed EYW RSA development program.

The following are the direct and connected actions which are the focus of the EA, and which will be subject to the analysis of purpose and need, alternatives and environmental consequences:

- Provide a Runway Safety Area (RSA) for Runway 9 that is acceptable to FAA
- Provide a Runway Safety Area (RSA) for Runway 27 that is acceptable to FAA

Part A: PROJECT DEFINITION AND IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVES

Task A1 Early Notification

An informational package about the proposed RSA improvements at EYW and the preparation of the EA study will be prepared and distributed to applicable Federal, state, and local agencies as well as the Airport Citizens Advisory Council in order to solicit their opinions and obtain information relevant to the project. The mailing list for this effort will be incorporated into the project mailing list to be developed and maintained throughout the course of the study.

<u>Product</u>: Early Notification Letters to Agencies, Agency Mailing List.

DRAFT 5 12/2004

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task A2 EA Introduction Chapter

An introductory chapter for the EA will be prepared under this task. It will include a description of the proposed project, an overview of the EA process, and a summary of the forecasts of aviation activity at EYW within the time frame analyzed in the EA. The EA will use the most recent FAA approved forecasts of aviation activity at EYW (including fleet mix projections). This Scope of Services does not include the development of forecasts or any efforts required to obtain FAA approval of revised forecasts. The Introduction chapter of the EA will be submitted for preliminary review to the FAA and EYW concurrent with the Purpose and Need Working Paper

described in Task A3.

URS will obtain digital orthophotography for the airport and study area. The format and scale will be selected to provide sufficient base maps for the EA graphics and study effort. Existing available aerial photography will

be relied upon for analysis of off-site mitigation areas,

<u>Product</u>: Preliminary Draft EA Introduction Chapter; Aerial Photography

Primary Responsibility: URS

Task A3 **Definition of Purpose and Need**

The purpose and need for the proposed project will be evaluated and documented in this task. The analysis will identify what problems or shortfalls will be alleviated by the proposed improvements. This will provide documentation to the FAA and the public as to the need for the project. The intent of the analysis will be to clearly describe the need for the proposed project and to support the description with quantitative data. It is anticipated in this Scope of Services that the critical aircraft for this analysis and evaluation will be a regional

jet falling into Approach Category C and Design Group II.

The project purpose will be limited to those actions identified by the FAA as requiring environmental analysis and processing, specifically the proposed upgrading of the Runway 9/27 RSAs to accommodate the critical aircraft cited above. The potential for increased safety will be the focus concept in the Purpose and Need

discussion.

The results of the review and analyses identified above will be documented in a Preliminary Draft Working Paper of the Introduction and Purpose and Need sections of the EA. Twenty (28) copies of the Introduction and Purpose and Need Working Paper document will be provided (20 EYW, 5 FAA, 3 URS). The EYW and FAA will review and approve the content of the Introduction and Purpose and Need Working Paper prior to the evaluation of alternatives in Task A4. This Scope of Services anticipates one (1) round of review and

comment by the EYW and FAA.

<u>Product</u>: Preliminary Draft Working Paper - Introduction and Purpose and Need

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task A4 Identification of Alternatives

This task will identify and assess the viability of alternatives for providing the improvements in aircraft operating safety that are required by the FAA through the upgrading of the Runway 9/27 RSAs, and will only be initiated after EYW and FAA approval of the project Purpose and Need developed in Task A3. The approved Purpose and Need will form the basis of the alternatives analysis outlined in this task.

A test of reasonableness and practicality will be applied to each alternative to identify alternatives that warrant further study. Previous data and decisions that are still valid will be used to eliminate alternatives, as applicable. The evaluation of all alternatives will consider existing published information on each initial alternative identified. The evaluation will focus on the relative advantages and disadvantages of each alternative, and will incorporate the analysis of RSA alternatives mandated by FAA Order 5200.9. This analysis will utilize the engineering analyses performed in Task C2.1

The feasibility and practicality of all reasonable on- and off-site alternatives will be examined through a multiple-level screening process. The first level will evaluate the alternatives ability to fulfill the Purpose and Need criteria established and approved in Task A3. Only those alternatives that meet all of the level one criteria will be evaluated in the second level, which will consider the operational factors, constructability, costs and preliminary environmental impacts associated with the alternatives. For project scoping purposes, it is anticipated that the on-site alternatives to be retained for detailed evaluation in subsequent portions of the EA will include the No-Action Alternative and up to three (3) combinations of RSA configurations for Runway 9/27.

Since almost any of the "build alternatives" would result in impacts to wetlands and/or floodplains, the alternatives analysis will include an evaluation of practicable avoidance/minimization alternatives as required under §404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act. These evaluations will be used to identify the least environmentally-damaging practicable alternative for use in the development of the permit applications to be prepared under Task C4.

Included in the review of alternatives will be the identification of dependent airport development and nondevelopment actions that would be associated with the alternatives. Each of the alternatives will be clearly defined in text and illustrations. An early qualitative review of each alternative and its impacts will be used to identify the range of alternatives to receive detailed assessment.

The results of the review and analyses identified above will be documented in a Preliminary Draft Working Paper of the Alternatives Chapter of the EA. The alternatives will be clearly and concisely compared in text, tabular and graphic form. A comprehensive discussion will be provided that will describe the reasoning for retaining or eliminating each alternative for further evaluation. Twenty-eight (28) copies of the Alternatives Working Paper document will be provided (20 EYW, 5 FAA, 3 URS). The EYW and FAA will review and approve the alternatives analysis prior to the detailed analysis of environmental impacts in Part B of this Scope of Services. This Scope of Services anticipates one (1) round of review and comment by the EYW and FAA.

<u>Product</u>: Preliminary Draft Working Paper - Alternatives

Primary Responsibility: URS

Task A4 Identification of Alternatives

This task will identify and assess the viability of alternatives for providing the improvements in aircraft operating safety that are required by the FAA through the upgrading of the Runway 9/27 RSAs, and will only be initiated after EYW and FAA approval of the project Purpose and Need developed in Task A3. The approved Purpose and Need will form the basis of the alternatives analysis outlined in this task.

A test of reasonableness and practicality will be applied to each alternative to identify alternatives that warrant further study. Previous data and decisions that are still valid will be used to eliminate alternatives, as applicable. The evaluation of all alternatives will consider existing published information on each initial alternative identified. The evaluation will focus on the relative advantages and disadvantages of each alternative, and will incorporate the analysis of RSA alternatives mandated by FAA Order 5200.9. This analysis will utilize the engineering analyses performed in Task C2.1

The feasibility and practicality of all reasonable on- and off-site alternatives will be examined through a multiple-level screening process. The first level will evaluate the alternatives ability to fulfill the Purpose and Need criteria established and approved in Task A3. Only those alternatives that meet all of the level one criteria will be evaluated in the second level, which will consider the operational factors, constructability, costs and preliminary environmental impacts associated with the alternatives. For project scoping purposes, it is anticipated that the on-site alternatives to be retained for detailed evaluation in subsequent portions of the EA will include the No-Action Alternative and up to three (3) combinations of RSA configurations for Runway 9/27.

Since almost any of the "build alternatives" would result in impacts to wetlands and/or floodplains, the alternatives analysis will include an evaluation of practicable avoidance/minimization alternatives as required under §404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act. These evaluations will be used to identify the least environmentally-damaging practicable alternative for use in the development of the permit applications to be prepared under Task C4.

Included in the review of alternatives will be the identification of dependent airport development and nondevelopment actions that would be associated with the alternatives. Each of the alternatives will be clearly defined in text and illustrations. An early qualitative review of each alternative and its impacts will be used to identify the range of alternatives to receive detailed assessment.

The results of the review and analyses identified above will be documented in a Preliminary Draft Working Paper of the Alternatives Chapter of the EA. The alternatives will be clearly and concisely compared in text, tabular and graphic form. A comprehensive discussion will be provided that will describe the reasoning for retaining or eliminating each alternative for further evaluation. Twenty-eight (28) copies of the Alternatives Working Paper document will be provided (20 EYW, 5 FAA, 3 URS). The EYW and FAA will review and approve the alternatives analysis prior to the detailed analysis of environmental impacts in Part B of this Scope of Services. This Scope of Services anticipates one (1) round of review and comment by the EYW and FAA.

Product: Preliminary Draft Working Paper - Alternatives

Primary Responsibility: URS

and supplemental data obtained in the field as part of the EA process. This GIS mapping/database system will be used to describe existing conditions in the Study Area and for the subsequent evaluation of impacts in Task B2. Finished graphics of digital maps and physical maps at the largest possible scale for report purposes will be used as base maps throughout the entire study and will show existing political jurisdictions, land uses, environmental resources (wetlands, biotic communities and floodplains) major and minor streets and roadways, and major physical constraints, along with selected place names, road names and names of geographical features. A windshield survey will be conducted to finalize the identification of land uses from available aerial photography.

Other information will also be gathered for use in the Affected Environment section of the EA. Such additional data will include the location and description of public parks, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, farmlands, recreation areas, historic sites, coastal zone management, and coastal barriers.

<u>Product</u>: Updated and most appropriately scaled digital and physical base maps of the Study Area to be used throughout the EA. Updated information for use in the Description of the Affected Environment.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B1.2 Description of the Affected Environment

As required by FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D, NEPA and CEQ, URS will prepare a concise description of the EA Study Area. The affected environment will be described by the following:

Location map, vicinity map, Airport Layout Plan, existing land use and zoning maps;

Graphics and descriptions of existing aircraft noise impacts, land uses in the EA Study Area, including affected residential areas, public parks, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, designated areas of critical habitat, uplands, wetlands, floodplains, farmlands, recreation areas, and historic and archaeological sites;

Future planned activities in the Study Area.

<u>Product</u>: Preparation of graphics and a brief summary describing the affected environment for use in the EA.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2 Environmental Consequences

This task involves the technical analyses of the direct and indirect environmental effects of the proposed improvements and other reasonable alternatives for the specific impact categories listed in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. For each impact category, one of the following courses of action will be followed in determining the scope of material to be prepared by URS for the EA:

If analysis indicates no impacts or the impacts are not significant, a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasons and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A, will be prepared by URS and used in preparing the EA.

and supplemental data obtained in the field as part of the EA process. This GIS mapping/database system will be used to describe existing conditions in the Study Area and for the subsequent evaluation of impacts in Task B2. Finished graphics of digital maps and physical maps at the largest possible scale for report purposes will be used as base maps throughout the entire study and will show existing political jurisdictions, land uses, environmental resources (wetlands, biotic communities and floodplains) major and minor streets and roadways, and major physical constraints, along with selected place names, road names and names of geographical features. A windshield survey will be conducted to finalize the identification of land uses from available aerial photography.

Other information will also be gathered for use in the Affected Environment section of the EA. Such additional data will include the location and description of public parks, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, farmlands, recreation areas, historic sites, coastal zone management, and coastal barriers.

<u>Product</u>: Updated and most appropriately scaled digital and physical base maps of the Study Area to be used throughout the EA. Updated information for use in the Description of the Affected Environment.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B1.2 Description of the Affected Environment

As required by FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D, NEPA and CEQ, URS will prepare a concise description of the EA Study Area. The affected environment will be described by the following:

Location map, vicinity map, Airport Layout Plan, existing land use and zoning maps;

Graphics and descriptions of existing aircraft noise impacts, land uses in the EA Study Area, including affected residential areas, public parks, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, designated areas of critical habitat, uplands, wetlands, floodplains, farmlands, recreation areas, and historic and archaeological sites;

Future planned activities in the Study Area.

<u>Product</u>: Preparation of graphics and a brief summary describing the affected environment for use in the EA.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2 Environmental Consequences

This task involves the technical analyses of the direct and indirect environmental effects of the proposed improvements and other reasonable alternatives for the specific impact categories listed in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. For each impact category, one of the following courses of action will be followed in determining the scope of material to be prepared by URS for the EA:

If analysis indicates no impacts or the impacts are not significant, a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasons and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A, will be prepared by URS and used in preparing the EA.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.4 Endangered and Threatened Species

The effect of the alternatives under study upon Federal and state-listed plants and animals and their habitats will be evaluated in this task. It is anticipated that the information regarding Endangered and Threatened Species on the airport property will be obtained from the EYW, from State and Federal resource agencies, and from the assessments prepared as part of Task C1.5. Particular attention will be paid to the potential impacts of the development alternatives upon protected species that are permanent or migratory (seasonal) inhabitants of the area.

Product: Description of endangered species impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.5 Water Quality

The effects of the alternatives under study upon stormwater and groundwater quality and quantity during construction and operation of the alternatives will be examined in this task. The analysis will address an estimation of runoff volumes, methods to control peak flow, and methods to mitigate water quality impacts. Measures to ensure compliance with FAA AC 150/5370-10A "Standards for Specifying Construction at Airports", as well as state or local water quality regulations and the current stormwater management and permitting requirements will be discussed. The information to be reported in this task will come in part from the activities undertaken pursuant to Task C3 of this Scope of Services. In addition, an analysis of potential impacts to water supply and wastewater treatment facilities will be included in this section.

Potential water quality construction impacts associated with the alternatives under study will be described and any mitigation measures considered necessary will be identified. These may include such measures as erosion control practices, stormwater runoff detention methods and Best Management Practices (BMP's). The provisions and applicability for construction mitigation contained in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5370-10A, Standards for Specifying Construction at Airports, will also be discussed. A qualitative discussion of the cumulative impacts of EYW development and other development proposals in the vicinity will be prepared.

<u>Product</u>: Description of water quality impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.6 Floodplains

The effect of the alternatives under study upon designated 100-year floodplains and floodways will be determined in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Such areas will be identified through Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps. The evaluation will consider the direct and indirect potential of the alternatives under study to impact floodplains. In addition, the requirements of applicable state and local regulations will be identified and complied with. Where 100-year floodplain/floodway impacts are determined to occur, conceptual mitigation measures will be provided by URS

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.4 Endangered and Threatened Species

The effect of the alternatives under study upon Federal and state-listed plants and animals and their habitats will be evaluated in this task. It is anticipated that the information regarding Endangered and Threatened Species on the airport property will be obtained from the EYW, from State and Federal resource agencies, and from the assessments prepared as part of Task C1.5. Particular attention will be paid to the potential impacts of the development alternatives upon protected species that are permanent or migratory (seasonal) inhabitants of the area.

<u>Product</u>: Description of endangered species impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.5 Water Quality

The effects of the alternatives under study upon stormwater and groundwater quality and quantity during construction and operation of the alternatives will be examined in this task. The analysis will address an estimation of runoff volumes, methods to control peak flow, and methods to mitigate water quality impacts. Measures to ensure compliance with FAA AC 150/5370-10A "Standards for Specifying Construction at Airports". as well as state or local water quality regulations and the current stormwater management and permitting requirements will be discussed. The information to be reported in this task will come in part from the activities undertaken pursuant to Task C3 of this Scope of Services. In addition, an analysis of potential impacts to water supply and wastewater treatment facilities will be included in this section.

Potential water quality construction impacts associated with the alternatives under study will be described and any mitigation measures considered necessary will be identified. These may include such measures as erosion control practices, stormwater runoff detention methods and Best Management Practices (BMP's). The provisions and applicability for construction mitigation contained in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5370-10A, Standards for Specifying Construction at Airports, will also be discussed. A qualitative discussion of the cumulative impacts of EYW development and other development proposals in the vicinity will be prepared.

<u>Product</u>: Description of water quality impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.6 Floodplains

The effect of the alternatives under study upon designated 100-year floodplains and floodways will be determined in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Such areas will be identified through Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps. The evaluation will consider the direct and indirect potential of the alternatives under study to impact floodplains. In addition, the requirements of applicable state and local regulations will be identified and complied with. Where 100-year floodplain/floodway impacts are determined to occur, conceptual mitigation measures will be provided by URS

The APE for archaeological resources is proposed to consist of lands which have the potential for physical disturbance from the alternatives under study. This would consist of lands currently owned by the EYW that are involved in the proposed improvements. Early consultation conducted as part of Task 1.1 between the EYW and the SHPO will determine if there is a potential for there to be archaeological sites listed in or eligible for the National Register within the APE for archaeological resources. Since it is anticipated that all land disturbance associated with the alternatives under study would occur entirely on previously disturbed properties, this Scope of Services does not include archaeological field work to identify previously unrecorded archaeological sites.

Product: Description of historic and archaeological impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.9 DOT Section 303(c) Resources (formerly Section 4(f) resources)

An analysis of potential impacts to DOT Section 303(c) properties (parks or recreational areas) as well as DOI Section 6(f) (Land & Water Conservation Fund Lands) will be conducted as a part of the EA. Such properties located within the Study Area will be identified, described and potential impacts to them evaluated as outlined in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. If impacts are expected to occur, appropriate avoidance alternatives and potential mitigation measures will be identified. Consideration will be given to all potential uses of Section 303(c) and 6(f) lands including direct use (such as through acquisition or demolition) and constructive use (such as increased noise levels or changes in access).

Product: Description of DOT Section 303(c) and 6(f) impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.10 Noise Impacts

The proposed project under consideration consists of the development of improved RSA's at EYW. The development of improved RSA's would not result in any change in aircraft operational levels, flight tracks, runway use percentages, day-night split or fleet mix at EYW. The resultant analysis of noise exposure contours for any of the alternatives, if accomplished, would yield the same results.

Since a comparative analysis would not yield meaningful data for the evaluation of the alternatives under study, the development of noise contours for the No-Action versus "build alternatives" will not be conducted for this EA. The EA document will include a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasoning leading to a conclusion of no impact and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A.

<u>Product</u>: Description of reasoning for not conducting a noise impact analysis of the alternatives.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

The APE for archaeological resources is proposed to consist of lands which have the potential for physical disturbance from the alternatives under study. This would consist of lands currently owned by the EYW that are involved in the proposed improvements. Early consultation conducted as part of Task 1.1 between the EYW and the SHPO will determine if there is a potential for there to be archaeological sites listed in or eligible for the National Register within the APE for archaeological resources. Since it is anticipated that all land disturbance associated with the alternatives under study would occur entirely on previously disturbed properties, this Scope of Services does not include archaeological field work to identify previously unrecorded archaeological sites.

Product: Description of historic and archaeological impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.9 DOT Section 303(c) Resources (formerly Section 4(f) resources)

An analysis of potential impacts to DOT Section 303(c) properties (parks or recreational areas) as well as DOI Section 6(f) (Land & Water Conservation Fund Lands) will be conducted as a part of the EA. Such properties located within the Study Area will be identified, described and potential impacts to them evaluated as outlined in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. If impacts are expected to occur, appropriate avoidance alternatives and potential mitigation measures will be identified. Consideration will be given to all potential uses of Section 303(c) and 6(f) lands including direct use (such as through acquisition or demolition) and constructive use (such as increased noise levels or changes in access).

Product: Description of DOT Section 303(c) and 6(f) impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.10 Noise Impacts

The proposed project under consideration consists of the development of improved RSA's at EYW. The development of improved RSA's would not result in any change in aircraft operational levels, flight tracks, runway use percentages, day-night split or fleet mix at EYW. The resultant analysis of noise exposure contours for any of the alternatives, if accomplished, would yield the same results.

Since a comparative analysis would not yield meaningful data for the evaluation of the alternatives under study, the development of noise contours for the No-Action versus "build alternatives" will not be conducted for this EA. The EA document will include a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasoning leading to a conclusion of no impact and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A.

<u>Product</u>: Description of reasoning for not conducting a noise impact analysis of the alternatives.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Environmental Justice

Impacts of the alternatives will be assessed with regard to compliance with Federal Environmental Justice guidelines (Executive Order 12898) to determine if there would be a disproportionate adverse impact to minority and low-income populations as a result of implementation of any of the alternatives under study (including the No-Action Alternative), and to quantify these impacts, should they occur.

The results of the noise and land use analyses conducted in Tasks 2.2.9 and 2.2.10 as well as the analyses conducted for other applicable impact categories will be used as the basis for this determination. Public participation from the Public Information Meeting and the Public Hearing will be used as an important resource in identifying and defining potential minority and low-income communities and their particular concerns regarding the alternatives under study.

Product: Description of environmental justice issues associated with the alternatives under study

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.13 Induced Socioeconomic Impacts

Since it is not anticipated that the alternatives under study would result in noise, land use or social impacts, it is also not anticipated in this Scope of Services that the proposed project and reasonable alternatives would result in impacts to the social and economic characteristics of the Key West community, result in shifts in population movement and growth, increases in public service demands, or changes in surface transportation patterns in the EYW area. The EA document will include a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasoning leading to a conclusion of no impact and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A.

Product: Description of induced socioeconomic impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.14 Air Quality

This section will assess the need for, and fulfill the requirements of, an air quality analysis in accordance with FAA Order 5050.4A, Page 33 Paragraph 5B. Since the RSA improvements under study would not change the number of airport operations, it is likely that only a qualitative discussion of air quality conditions related to airport operations will be required. However, if necessary, an emissions inventory of all airport sources of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), oxides of nitrogen (NO $_x$), and particulate matter will be conducted, both with and without the proposed project. The FAA Emissions Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS) would be used for this purpose.

An analysis and emissions inventory of the project's construction-related emissions will be provided for the pollutants referenced above. For the purposes of this Scope of Services it is anticipated that construction associated with the proposed project would be initiated in the year 2005 and be completed during 2006. Therefore, the construction emissions analysis will be for the 2005 through 2006 time frame.

Environmental Justice

impacts of the alternatives will be assessed with regard to compliance with Federal Environmental Justice guidelines (Executive Order 12898) to determine if there would be a disproportionate adverse impact to minority and low-income populations as a result of implementation of any of the alternatives under study (including the No-Action Alternative), and to quantify these impacts, should they occur.

The results of the noise and land use analyses conducted in Tasks 2.2.9 and 2.2.10 as well as the analyses conducted for other applicable impact categories will be used as the basis for this determination. Public participation from the Public Information Meeting and the Public Hearing will be used as an important resource in identifying and defining potential minority and low-income communities and their particular concerns regarding the alternatives under study.

Product: Description of environmental justice issues associated with the alternatives under study

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.13 Induced Socioeconomic Impacts

Since it is not anticipated that the alternatives under study would result in noise, fand use or social impacts, it is also not anticipated in this Scope of Services that the proposed project and reasonable alternatives would result in impacts to the social and economic characteristics of the Key West community, result in shifts in population movement and growth, increases in public service demands, or changes in surface transportation patterns in the EYW area. The EA document will include a brief but complete statement to this effect, including the reasoning leading to a conclusion of no impact and reference to the appropriate section(s) of FAA Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A.

<u>Product</u>: Description of induced socioeconomic impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.14 Air Quality

This section will assess the need for, and fulfill the requirements of, an air quality analysis in accordance with FAA Order 5050.4A, Page 33 Paragraph 5B. Since the RSA improvements under study would not change the number of airport operations, it is likely that only a qualitative discussion of air quality conditions related to airport operations will be required. However, if necessary, an emissions inventory of all airport sources of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and particulate matter will be conducted, both with and without the proposed project. The FAA Emissions Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS) would be used for this purpose.

An analysis and emissions inventory of the project's construction-related emissions will be provided for the pollutants referenced above. For the purposes of this Scope of Services it is anticipated that construction associated with the proposed project would be initiated in the year 2005 and be completed during 2006. Therefore, the construction emissions analysis will be for the 2005 through 2006 time frame.

Task B2.18 Energy Supply and Natural Resources

The impacts of the alternatives under study will be compared with regard to energy supplies and natural resources. This includes the effects on vehicular energy utilization, electrical requirements and the use of any resources which may be in limited supply. The analysis will also include an assessment of the use of such items as oil, gas, and mineral or other natural resource reserves.

<u>Product</u>: Description of energy supply and natural resource impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.19 Light Emissions

It is not anticipated that the alternatives under study would impact areas that have been identified or designated as light sensitive. To confirm this determination, URS will investigate the potential for the intrusion of additional light emissions in areas sensitive to human and wildlife.

<u>Product</u>: Description of light emission impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.20 Solid Waste Impacts

The potential impacts of the proposed improvements upon solid waste will be determined as required in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. Consultation with local officials will be made to determine the capacity of existing and proposed solid waste disposal facilities and their ability to accommodate the estimated solid wastes that would be generated by construction of the RSA improvements. URS will analyze the airport's conformity with current FAA guidelines contained in FAA AC 150/ 5200-33, Hazardous Wildlife Attractants On or Near Airports, by locating a runway within 10,000 feet of an active sanitary landfill. URS will coordinate with the EYW, the FAA and the Monroe County Department of Solid Waste to document the potential for impact and to resolve any conflicts that may arise from the location of the proposed upgraded RSA's. Potential mitigation measures will be identified in coordination with the EYW, the Monroe County Department of Solid Waste and the FAA.

Product: Description of solid waste impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.18 Energy Supply and Natural Resources

The impacts of the alternatives under study will be compared with regard to energy supplies and natural resources. This includes the effects on vehicular energy utilization, electrical requirements and the use of any resources which may be in limited supply. The analysis will also include an assessment of the use of such items as oil, gas, and mineral or other natural resource reserves.

<u>Product</u>: Description of energy supply and natural resource impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.19 Light Emissions

It is not anticipated that the alternatives under study would impact areas that have been identified or designated as light sensitive. To confirm this determination, URS will investigate the potential for the intrusion of additional light emissions in areas sensitive to human and wildlife.

Product: Description of light emission impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B2.20 Solid Waste Impacts

The potential impacts of the proposed improvements upon solid waste will be determined as required in FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D. Consultation with local officials will be made to determine the capacity of existing and proposed solid waste disposal facilities and their ability to accommodate the estimated solid wastes that would be generated by construction of the RSA improvements. URS will analyze the airport's conformity with current FAA guidelines contained in FAA AC 150/5200-33, Hazardous Wildlife Attractants On or Near Airports, by locating a runway within 10,000 feet of an active sanitary landfill. URS will coordinate with the EYW, the FAA and the Monroe County Department of Solid Waste to document the potential for impact and to resolve any conflicts that may arise from the location of the proposed upgraded RSA's. Potential mitigation measures will be identified in coordination with the EYW, the Monroe County Department of Solid Waste and the FAA.

<u>Product</u>: Description of solid waste impacts of the alternatives under study.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B3.2 Draft EA Preparation

The EYW's and FAA's comments on the Preliminary Draft EA will be incorporated into a Draft EA document. This task includes document preparation, word processing, graphics preparation, and management Quality Assurance/Quality Control. URS will provide 95 copies of the Draft EA document for distribution (20 EYW, 5 FAA, 2 FDOT, 3 URS, and 65 copies for distribution to Federal, state and local agencies and the general public). URS will also prepare all text, tables and graphics of the Draft EA document in electronic format (.pdf), such that CD versions of the entire document are available if they are requested by a reviewing entity. This Scope of Services anticipates producing twenty-five (25) CD versions of the Draft EA.

URS will prepare a distribution list and a signed distribution letter on EYW letterhead. URS will be responsible for reproduction and distribution of the letter and Draft EA reports. URS will supervise the preparation of two (2) newspaper notices of availability which will be provided to the EYW for publication in local newspapers. Draft EA exhibits will be produced in color as appropriate.

Product: Draft EA

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B4 Public Involvement

A public involvement program will be implemented to allow interested agencies, groups and individuals the opportunity to review and comment on the EA study. During the course of study, all views will be carefully weighed and solutions developed which would best serve the common interests of the public. The public concerned with the future design and operation of EYW comprises many groups around the metropolitan area. These include adjacent neighborhoods, airport users, regional businesses and civic groups.

Task B4.1 Mailing List

A mailing list will be assembled and continuously updated based on URS's list for early notification letters and information provided by the EYW. The list will include Federal, state and local agencies, elected officials, organizations, interest groups and attendees of all project meetings. The list will be expanded through the course of the study as necessary.

Task B4.2 Public Information Meeting

One (1) additional Public Information Meeting (PIM) will be conducted during Phase II of the preparation of the EA. The PIM will be accomplished following development of the Affected Environment descriptions and the Environmental Consequences analyses, and will be designed to notify the public and agencies of the preliminary findings of the EA study.

The PIM will be held in an informal open-house format late in the afternoon to early in the evening for a period of up to three hours (typically between 5 p.m. and 8 p.m.). Representatives from the EYW and URS will staff the meetings during the entire period to talk individually with citizens about their concerns. URS will prepare

DRAFT 5/12/2004

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B3.2 Draft EA Preparation

The EYW's and FAA's comments on the Preliminary Draft EA will be incorporated into a Draft EA document. This task includes document preparation, word processing, graphics preparation, and management Quality Assurance/Quality Control. URS will provide 95 copies of the Draft EA document for distribution (20 EYW, 5 FAA, 2 FDOT, 3 URS, and 65 copies for distribution to Federal, state and local agencies and the general public). URS will also prepare all text, tables and graphics of the Draft EA document in electronic format (.pdf), such that CD versions of the entire document are available if they are requested by a reviewing entity. This Scope of Services anticipates producing twenty-five (25) CD versions of the Draft EA.

URS will prepare a distribution list and a signed distribution letter on EYW letterhead. URS will be responsible for reproduction and distribution of the letter and Draft EA reports. URS will supervise the preparation of two (2) newspaper notices of availability which will be provided to the EYW for publication in local newspapers. Draft EA exhibits will be produced in color as appropriate.

Product: Draft EA

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B4 Public Involvement

A public involvement program will be implemented to allow interested agencies, groups and individuals the opportunity to review and comment on the EA study. During the course of study, all views will be carefully weighed and solutions developed which would best serve the common interests of the public. The public concerned with the future design and operation of EYW comprises many groups around the metropolitan area. These include adjacent neighborhoods, airport users, regional businesses and civic groups.

Task B4.1 Mailing List

A mailing list will be assembled and continuously updated based on URS's list for early notification letters and information provided by the EYW. The list will include Federal, state and local agencies, elected officials, organizations, interest groups and attendees of all project meetings. The list will be expanded through the course of the study as necessary.

Task B4.2 Public Information Meeting

One (1) additional Public Information Meeting (PIM) will be conducted during Phase II of the preparation of the EA. The PIM will be accomplished following development of the Affected Environment descriptions and the Environmental Consequences analyses, and will be designed to notify the public and agencies of the preliminary findings of the EA study.

The PIM will be held in an informal open-house format late in the afternoon to early in the evening for a period of up to three hours (typically between 5 p.m. and 8 p.m.). Representatives from the EYW and URS will staff the meetings during the entire period to talk individually with citizens about their concerns. URS will prepare

meeting handout materials and furnish up to twenty (20) board-mounted graphics (maps, charts, etc.) to be on display so that citizens can become familiar with the issues and impacts of the project and to locate their respective residence or business relative to the project. Comment forms will be prepared by URS and distributed at the meetings.

URS will prepare advertisements for the meetings and coordinate the timely publication of advertising for community notifications at least two weeks prior to the PIM. EYW will cover the costs of publishing the advertisements in local newspapers for the PIM. EYW will also cover the costs associated with providing the meeting room facilities and equipment including chairs, tables, easels, and audio/video equipment (if needed). URS will cover costs associated with the provision of up to two (2) court stenographers for the meeting to take verbatim transcripts of all public and agency comments made during the PIM. Up to six (6) URS representatives will attend the PIM.

Product: Conduct one (1) Public Information Meeting.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B4.3 Public Hearing

URS will assist the EYW in conducting a combined Public Information Workshop (PIW) / Public Hearing (Hearing) to be held no less than 30 days after the publication of the Draft EA. The objective of the PIW/Hearing will be to present the results of the environmental impact analysis and the findings of the Draft EA in the same informal setting and manner as was used in the previous PIM. The objective of the PIW/Hearing will be to provide an opportunity for the public to comment on the Draft EA. The PIW/Hearing can be held in several different formats at the discretion of the EYW. Hearing options include the following:

Provide an opportunity for public comment on the Draft EA during the PIW by allowing the public to submit written and/or verbal comments at any time during the PIW.

During the PIW, a separate Hearing room can be established in which a designated Hearing Officer will preside over the orderly hearing of oral public comments on the Draft EA.

If mandated by the laws of Monroe County, the Hearing can be conducted before the Board of County Commissioners at a regularly scheduled or special session of the Board.

Regardless of the format selected, URS will provide up to two (2) court stenographers to record a verbatim transcript of the oral comments submitted during the PIW/Hearing. Written comments and private verbal comments will be accepted anytime during the PIW and Hearing.

URS will coordinate the timely advertising for community notification at least 30 days prior to the PIW/Hearing. URS will prepare advertisements for the PIW/Hearing. EYW will be responsible for costs associated with publishing the advertisements as described in Task 2.4.2. EYW will also cover the costs associated with providing the meeting facility and equipment including chairs, tables, easels, and audio/video equipment. Up to six (6) URS representatives will participate in the joint PIW/Hearing. The results of the PIW/Hearing will be

documented for use by URS in preparing a summary of public involvement and in preparing a response to public comments.

Product: Conduct of a PIW / Public Hearing

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B5 Draft EA Comment Analysis

URS will compile all comments received during the public involvement process and on the Draft EA, including letters, comment forms, and court reporter transcripts. Comment submittals will be inventoried through a computerized database system. All comments will be reviewed, summarized, and cross-referenced to the commenter. The comments and responses will be organized into a format to be included within an appendix to the Final EA.

Comments will be summarized and catalogued into a database using URS's Lotus Notes system. The following process will be used:

Each comment document received by URS will be assigned a sequential identification number.

URS will review each comment document and identify and enter into the database the following information:

- Name and address of the commenter.
- Document Identification number.
- Based on an encoding system approved by the EYW and FAA, identify potential impacts or other issues raised in the comment document.

URS will prepare a list of issues based on comments received, including a summary statement of each issue.

URS will prepare draft responses to all summarized comments received from the PIM and the PIW/Hearing as well as agency review of the Draft EA. EYW and FAA will review all comments, taking into account and evaluating URS's draft responses for disposition. It is expected that in general, comments will require one of two types of action. Either the matter has been discussed adequately in the Draft EA or it has not been discussed adequately. In the former case, URS will draft a brief but complete explanation of the adequacy of the Draft EA with respect to the comment, with a reference to the appropriate section(s) of the Draft EA. In the latter case, URS will recommend, and perform if so directed by EYW and FAA, necessary additional investigations or studies and/or prepare additional or modified material for inclusion in the Final EA in response to the comment. Any additional investigations or studies and the preparation of additional materials, if significantly beyond that contemplated and budgeted, may be conducted through an additional Professional Service Order. In the event the additional studies or investigations are prepared by others, URS will be responsible for supervision of its adequacy and recommending to EYW and FAA an appropriate response to the comment. EYW and FAA will oversee this process and approve the substantive issues that will receive responses. EYW and FAA will determine the adequacy of all responses.

documented for use by URS in preparing a summary of public involvement and in preparing a response to public comments.

Product: Conduct of a PIW / Public Hearing

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B5 Draft EA Comment Analysis

URS will compile all comments received during the public involvement process and on the Draft EA, including letters, comment forms, and court reporter transcripts. Comment submittals will be inventoried through a computerized database system. All comments will be reviewed, summarized, and cross-referenced to the commenter. The comments and responses will be organized into a format to be included within an appendix to the Final EA.

Comments will be summarized and catalogued into a database using URS's Lotus Notes system. The following process will be used:

Each comment document received by URS will be assigned a sequential identification number.

URS will review each comment document and identify and enter into the database the following information:

- Name and address of the commenter.
- Document Identification number.
- Based on an encoding system approved by the EYW and FAA, identify potential impacts or other issues raised in the comment document.

URS will prepare a list of issues based on comments received, including a summary statement of each issue.

URS will prepare draft responses to all summarized comments received from the PIM and the PIW/Hearing as well as agency review of the Draft EA. EYW and FAA will review all comments, taking into account and evaluating URS's draft responses for disposition. It is expected that in general, comments will require one of two types of action. Either the matter has been discussed adequately in the Draft EA or it has not been discussed adequately. In the former case, URS will draft a brief but complete explanation of the adequacy of the Draft EA with respect to the comment, with a reference to the appropriate section(s) of the Draft EA. In the latter case, URS will recommend, and perform if so directed by EYW and FAA, necessary additional investigations or studies and/or prepare additional or modified material for inclusion in the Final EA in response to the comment. Any additional investigations or studies and the preparation of additional materials, if significantly beyond that contemplated and budgeted, may be conducted through an additional Professional Service Order. In the event the additional studies or investigations are prepared by others, URS will be responsible for supervision of its adequacy and recommending to EYW and FAA an appropriate response to the comment. EYW and FAA will oversee this process and approve the substantive issues that will receive responses. EYW and FAA will determine the adequacy of all responses.

Product: Draft EA Comment Database with responses

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B6 Final EA Report Preparation

Task 86.1 Preliminary Final EA

URS will update and revise the Draft EA document to convert it to a Preliminary Final EA. Necessary revisions will be included into the text and additional sections, such as the summary of the Public Hearing, the comment/response appendices and additional analyses which are within the Scope of Services. This task includes the technical specialist document preparation, word processing, graphics preparation, and management Quality Assurance/Quality Control. Thirty-two (32) copies of the document will be provided (20 EYW, 8 FAA, 2 FDOT, 2 URS).

Product: Preliminary Final EA

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task B6.2 Final EA Preparation

EYW's and FAA's comments on the Preliminary Final EA will be incorporated into the Final EA document. This task includes the technical specialist document preparation, word processing, graphics preparation, and management Quality Assurance/Quality Control. Thirty (30) copies of the Final EA will be provided (15 EYW, 5 FAA, 5 FDOT, 5 URS). URS shall also prepare all text, tables and graphics of the Final EA document in electronic format (.pdf), such that CD versions of the entire document are available if they are requested by a reviewing entity. This Scope of Services anticipates producing five (5) CD versions of the Final EA.

This task assumes that URS will assist the FAA in the preparation of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) determination or EIS determination.

Product: Final EA

Primary Responsibility: URS.

PART C: ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING

Task C1 Data Collection and Assessment

Task C1.1: Wetlands Field Investigation and Jurisdictional Determination

URS will determine and flag the jurisdictional boundaries of wetlands found within and adjacent to the project area. These determinations will be undertaken using the guidelines found within Chapter 62-340 - Delineation of the Landward Extent of Wetlands and Surface Waters (Florida Administrative Code) and the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Technical Report Y-87-1).

Field surveys of the affected area will be conducted to identify jurisdictional wetlands in the project areas and potential impacts to those wetlands as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed action and the development alternatives. Two environmental specialists will accomplish two trips to EYW for a period of five (5) days each trip to field evaluate the study area, collect data, to determine the extent of impacts of each alternative, and to evaluate avoidance alternatives.

Task C1.2 Wetland Jurisdictional Report

Upon completion of wetland jurisdictional determinations and their field survey, URS will develop a Wetlands Delineation Report which will include the information gathered during the wetlands determination. Drafts of this report will be submitted to the EYW for review and comment. Upon approval of the report by the EYW, it will be submitted to the SFWMD and the ACOE for their review and approval.

The following information will be contained within this report:

- A description of the methods used to determine the landward extent of wetlands within and adjacent to the project area,
- A description of the various wetlands found within and adjacent to the project area.
- US Army Corps of Engineers wetlands data sheets, and
- A specific purpose survey of the wetland boundaries (survey to be completed by the Airport or its survey consultant).

Product: Wetlands Jurisdictional Report

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C1.3 Biotic Community Assessments

The baseline data for the EA will be verified through a field review of the areas that will be conducted using the most recent available aerial mapping. Field surveys will be conducted as part of Task C1.1. Lists of animal species known or having the potential to occur in the areas will be compiled from available data and field reviews and presented in the EA. The potential impacts to these communities due to the construction and operation of the proposed improvements and alternatives will be quantified and compared. The results will be coordinated with the appropriate Federal, state and local agencies including the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC).

<u>Product</u>: Assessment of potential impact to biotic communities.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C1.4 Essential Fish Habitat Assessment

An Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) assessment will be prepared to support the permit application AND for FAA's consultation with NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service. The EFH assessment will include a review of scientific literature concerning habitat and species potentially affected by the project; an analysis of individual and cumulative impacts to EHF; an analysis of potential impacts to federally managed and associated species (by life history stage); a determination of potential effect; and potential mitigation to minimize and offset project impacts.

<u>Product</u>: Assessment of potential impact to Essential Fish Habitat.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C1.5 Protected Species Assessments

URS will assess the project area for the presence of protected species. These assessments will be done using procedures found within the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) - Wildlife Methodology Guidelines (1988). This task will include coordination with the FFWCC, the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) for the purpose of obtaining existing information on the documented presence of protected species within and adjacent to the project area. (NOTE: For the purposes of this scope of service and cost estimate, it is assumed that this task will be completed as part of the development of the Environmental Assessment of this project. Information collected as part of the EA shall be used in the development of the environmental permit applications discussed under Task C.4 below.)

The effect of the alternatives under study upon Federal and state-listed plants and animals and their habitats will be evaluated as part of this task.

This task does not include seasonal assessments of the project area for specific flowering plant species or seasonal animal species, nor does it include such sampling methods as field trap, drift nets, etc. for the collection of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, or invertebrates. Formal consultations with the USFWS and/or the FFWCC and the development of a Biological Assessment for submittal to the USFWS are also not included as part of this task. (NOTE: If during the development of the EA it is determined that these assessments will be required in order for issuance of an Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) - 404 Permit or a South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) - Environmental Resource Permit, they will be completed under the Optional Task Scope of Services for "Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 Consultation".)

<u>Product</u>: Assessment of potential impact to protected species.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C2 RSA Design Services

Task C2.1 Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis

This task will provide engineering information and analyses in support of the alternatives analysis performed in Task A4. The analyses performed on selected alternatives as part of this task will include maximum feasible cost estimates and life cycle cost estimates pursuant to Order 5200.9 for selected alternatives that would meet the Purpose and Need as defined as part of Task A3. This task may include coordination with potential EMAS providers for design and planning information to be used in the assessment of alternatives.

<u>Product</u>: Engineering data in compliance with Order 5200.9

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C2.2 Conceptual Design of RSA Alternatives

URS will develop conceptual designs for up to three (3) RSA alternatives to a level of detail that will allow meaningful comparison of both the projected costs and environmental impacts of the alternatives as part of EA preparation and as part of the analysis of practicable alternatives pursuant to §404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act.

Product: Conceptual designs for up to three (3) alternatives

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C2.3 Preliminary Design of Preferred RSA Alternative

URS will develop preliminary engineering design plans for the preferred RSA alternative to a level adequate to support the preparation of the Joint Environmental Resource (ERP) / 404 permit application. For the purposes of this Scope of Service, it is anticipated that the project design plans will be at approximately the 30% phase and the project stormwater management plans will be at approximately the 90% plans. Topographic surveys required for design will be provided to URS by EYW.

Product: Preliminary design for one (1) preferred alternatives

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C3 Drainage Design

Task C3.1 Data Collection and Review

Existing data related to drainage at the airport will be collected and reviewed. This data may include existing drainage studies, soil information, land use maps, floodplain maps, aerial photographs, topographic maps, and

DRAFT 5/12/2004

Page 21 of 31

existing permits. Field visits will be made to determine existing site and drainage conditions in the RSA project area and on-site mitigation area.

Task C3.2 Drainage Calculations

Ŷ

Drainage calculations for the proposed project (Runway Safety Area and on-site mitigation area) will be developed including drainage basin delineation, rainfall volumes, design storm events, offsite flows, tailwater elevation, stormwater treatment volumes and peak stages and flows. The most recent existing Airport Master Drainage Plan will be used to assist in the completion of this task. The AdICPR hydrologic/hydraulic model will be utilized in the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis. The proposed stormwater system design will meet SFWMD regulatory requirements.

To meet regulatory agencies requirements, stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP's) will also be identified to provide stormwater treatment and peak volume attenuation (if required) for the proposed improvements. Alternative BMP's will be identified including swales, dry ponds, wet ponds, etc. URS will meet with the Airport to discuss the BMP alternatives and to select the preferred option.

Product: Drainage calculations for the proposed project

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C3.3 Drainage Report:

A drainage report will be prepared documenting the existing and proposed drainage conditions and summarizing the results of the above drainage tasks. Three (3) copies of the draft report will be submitted to EYW for review and comments. A meeting will be held with EYW to discuss any comments. Three (3) copies of the final report will be submitted to the EYW. The drainage report will be used in the proposed project environmental permit application packages submittal to the regulatory agencies.

A Drainage Plan Map will be prepared in AutoCAD to identify basin boundaries and acreage, stormwater BMP locations, and outfall locations.

Product: Drainage report for the proposed project

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C3.4 Drainage Design Plans

URS will develop a stormwater management design and prepare drainage construction plans for the proposed improvements which will be included in the overall project plan set developed in Task C2.3 and included in permit application submittals. The drainage plans will identify proposed drainage structures, pipes, ditches, detention pond areas, outfall locations and sizes and drainage details.

<u>Product</u>: Drainage design plans for the proposed project

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C4 Permit Applications and Mitigation Plans

Task C4.1 Preparation of Joint Environmental Resource / 404 Permit Application

URS will develop written texts, graphics, and drawings necessary for inclusion within the Joint Environmental Resource (ERP) / 404 permit application. The information which will be contained within this application shall include:

- Permit application forms,
- An alternatives analysis describing the proposed project and various alternatives considered prior to the selection of the proposed project,
- A description of the existing natural environmental features found within and adjacent to the project area,
- An assessment of the project's potential impacts on protected species,
- Assessments of the value of the wetlands proposed for impact by the proposed project. This
 assessment will be done using the Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure (WRAP) or Estuarine
 Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure (E-WRAP) required by the ACOE, and the Unified Mitigation
 Assessment Methodology (UMAM) required by the SWFMD,
- Tables showing the types and acreage of wetlands found within the project area, and the acreage of each wetland type proposed for impact by the project,
- An assessment of the proposed project's impacts on Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) as required by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS),
- A listing of property owners located adjacent to the proposed project,
- 8 by 11 inch sketches showing the proposed projects impacts to wetlands and other waters of the State and of the United States.
- Aerials (scale 1" = 200') showing the proposed project alignment and the boundaries of wetlands located within the project area,
- Drainage plans and calculations for the proposed project,
- A conceptual sediment and erosion control plan showing methods to be used to maintain water quality requirements during construction activities.

It is presently assumed that the SFWMD application for this project will be for a Construction ERP and that the level of detail of the application will address information necessary for the construction permit.

Product: Joint Environmental Resource (ERP) / 404 permit application

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C4.2 Develop Preliminary Mitigation Plan

URS will develop a preliminary mitigation plan to off-set impacts to wetlands which will occur as a result of the construction of the proposed project. This plan will be submitted to the SFWMD and the ACOE for their review and approval. Information used in the development of this plan will be derived from information collected during the development of the project's Environmental Assessment and from information contained within the March 2003 feasibility study developed for the Runway Safety Area. This information will also be supplemented with additional information required by the regulatory agencies. Tasks associated with the development of the plan shall include the detailed review of potential mitigation sites, selection of a proposed mitigation site(s), the development of a written description of the proposed mitigation and how it will off-set projected impacts, the development of graphics showing the proposed mitigation layout, and the development of tables showing the types and number of plants to be placed in the proposed mitigation area.

For the purposes of this Scope of Service and Cost Estimate, it is assumed that a total of four (4) mitigation sites (one on-site and three off-site) will be required.

Product: Preliminary mitigation plan

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task C4.3 Mitigation Construction Plans

Upon approval of the preliminary mitigation plan by the SFWMD and the ACOE, URS will develop mitigation construction plans, specifications, general and specific notes needed to construct the approved plan. As presently projected this mitigation plan will consist of four sites and the construction plan set will include four discrete sets of mitigation plans. Each of these plan sets will include the following sheets:

- Cover sheet,
- Quantities sheets,
- Typical and specific notes sheets,
- Geometry plan view sheets,
- Grading plan view sheets,
- Cross sections sheets,
- Planting plan view sheets,

- Typical planting sections sheets.
- Structures sheets.
- Geotechnical sheets.
- Erosion control sheets, and
- Planting Quantities and notes sheets.

As part of this task, URS will develop preliminary construction cost estimates for the mitigation plan. These estimates will be completed at the 60%, 90%, and 100% design phases.

Product: Mitigation construction plans

Primary Responsibility: URS.

PART D: MEETINGS AND COORDINATION

Task D1 Project Team Meetings, Presentation, and Coordination

This task includes preparation for and participation in meetings with the EYW and the FAA, along with general coordination with the EYW and FAA staffs in regard to project development strategies, purpose of and need for the proposed airport improvements, alternatives to be considered and analyzed in the EA, selection of the preferred alternative, design features of the proposed improvements, mitigation for unavoidable impacts, discussion of draft documentation, and preparation for public meetings and agency meetings.

URS will arrange and participate in up to twelve (12) meetings as part of this task. It is anticipated within this Scope of Services that these meetings will be distributed as follows: ten (10) meetings with representatives of the FAA and/or EYW in Monroe County, Florida, with three (3) members of the URS Team attending; and two (2) meetings with the FAA, in the Orlando Airports District Office (ADO) in Orlando, Florida with three (3) members of the URS Team attending.

Task D2 Resource Agency Meetings, Presentations, and Coordination

This task includes preparation for and participation in meetings with the USACE, SFWMD, USFWS, NMFS, FFWCC and other commenting agencies, along with general coordination with those agencies, in assisting the BOCC and EYW in the permitting process. URS will assist EYW in the development of handouts, graphics, and boards needed for meetings/presentations of the project to these agencies. URS will coordinate all submittals to the SFWMD and the USACE with EYW and will provide the EYW with draft copies of all deliverables for their review prior to their submittal to the SFWMD and the USACE.

Up to three (3) members of the URS Team will participate in a total of seven (7) meetings with the listed agencies in Key West, FL (5 meetings) or West Palm Beach, FL (2 meetings) to discuss the permit applications, project purpose and need, alternatives, projected project impacts, and proposed mitigation.

PART E: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Task E1 Project Management

This task involves the contractual, costing, administrative and managerial activities necessary to implement and oversee the project. It includes the development of draft and final scopes of services and cost estimates, preparation and processing of contract documents, the routine coordination and management of the project, preparation of monthly project progress reports, meeting minutes preparation, subcontractor coordination, EYW and FAA coordination, and project management plan preparation and updates.

Task E2 Project Management Plan and Quality Assurance Plan

Following notice to proceed, URS will develop a Project Management Plan (PMP) for implementing the project. Included will be the development of a specific staffing plan, project files, and project-specific Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures.

Task E3 Progress Reporting

URS shall submit monthly written reports on the progress of their work to the EYW. The monthly reports shall describe the present status of each aspect of the work, any problems encountered, the amount of work accomplished, and a comparison of actual accomplishments to the goals established for the period. In the event that established goals have not been met, the monthly report shall describe the reasons for delays. The monthly report shall also describe recommendations for modifications to the Scope of Services, changes in methodology or schedules for completion, decisions or conclusions which would alter the course of the study, and dates of upcoming meetings.

<u>Products</u>: Project Management Plan, Quality Assurance Plan, Progress Reports, Schedules, Scopes, Cost Estimates.

Primary Responsibility: URS.

PART F: OPTIONAL TASKS

Task F1 Formal Section 106 Coordination for Historical Resource Impacts

The following task would be authorized by the EYW if consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer indicates potential adverse effects on historic resources and that mitigation is required to offset the potential adverse effects. Work on this task will not begin until a separate notice to proceed is issued by the EYW.

In the event that it is determined that the project will have an adverse effect (pursuant to 36 CFR 800.5) upon National Historic Property Register-listed resources or other resources determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register, consultation will be entered into between the Board of County Commissioners, the FAA, the Fiorida SHPO, URS, and interested local parties to develop a plan to mitigate that effect upon the historic resource. This plan will be documented in a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), which will specify the mitigation measures to be incorporated into the Proposed Project.

This task will encompass consultation, meetings, and the drafting of an MOA. It does not include the completion of any mitigation measures, as these remain speculative. This task assumes three (3) URS personnel will attend and conduct two (2) consultation meetings in Key West. It is assumed that meetings will be conducted at the airport or at a location in Key West provided by the Board of County Commissioners.

Product: Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement

Primary Responsibility: URS

Task F2 Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 Consultation

The following task would be authorized by the EYW if consultation with the USFWS indicates that a formal Section 7 Consultation pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, will be required or if it is determined that the development of conservation measures will be necessary to off-set adverse impacts to protected species. Work on this task will not begin until a separate notice to proceed is issued by the EYW.

Task F2.1 Protected Species Surveys

URS will survey the project area for the presence of protected species specified by the US Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) and/or the National Marine Fisheries Services (NMFS) as being of concern and which may be impacted by the construction and/or operation of the proposed project. Prior to the start of any surveys, species specific sampling protocol will be developed and submitted to the USFWS/NMFS for their review and concurrence. Sampling protocol will consist of scientifically accepted procedures and will conform to requirements established in species specific "Species Recovery Plan", if one existing for the species in question.

Specific methodologies used may include seasonal assessments of the project area for specific flowering plant species or seasonal animal species, and the use of such sampling methods as field trap, drift nets, etc. for the collection of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and/or invertebrates.

For the purpose of this Scope of Services and Cost Estimate, it is assumed that no more than six (6) species will be surveyed as part of this task. In addition, it is assumed that no more than twelve (12) forty hour work weeks will be required to complete assessments of these species over a twelve (12) month period.

Task F2.2 Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected Species

Using information collected during Task F2.1 above, a determination of the presence or absence of each assessed species will be undertaken. If it is determined that an assessed species is present within the project study area, a determination of the potential affects the project may have on that species will be completed. A determination of affect for each species present will be done using that species recovery plan, available species specific data (e.g., life history, breeding requirements, etc.), and other scientifically accepted methods agreed to by the USFWS/NMFS.

Task F2.3 **Development of Conservation Measures**

If a determination that the proposed project will have a significant impact on an assessed species, potential

conservation measures to off-set that impact will be developed. Conservation measures shall consist of actions which may be taken to help ensure the continued existence of a federally listed protected species.

Examples of conservation measures include but are not limited to:

construction restrictions during specific (e.g., breeding, etc.) times of the year to prevent harassment

of protected species.

construction related safety measures implemented to prevent harm to a protected species (e.g.,

construction conditions).

the development of a relocation plan for plant and/or animal species located within the project area.

conservation and management of lands known to be used by a protected species, and

restoration, conservation and management of lands which historically were used by a protected

species.

The specific conservation measures developed will be dependent on the species to be impacted and on the

proposed impact. For the purpose of this Scope of Service and Cost Estimate, it is assumed that no more

than six (6) species will be assessed as part of this task.

Product: Description of conservation measures

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task F2.4 **Biological Assessment Development**

Using information collected and/or developed during Tasks F2.1 through F2.3 above, URS shall develop a Biological Assessment for those federally listed protected species which will be impacted by the proposed

project. This Biological Assessment shall conform to the guidelines found within the USFWS/NMFS,

"Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" (Final, March 1998) and shall include the following information:

A description of the proposed action (i.e., proposed project),

A description of the specific area that may be affected by the action (i.e., project area and potentially

areas adjacent to the project area),

A description of the listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat.

An analysis of any cumulative effects on the listed species,

- Relevant reports/information, including information collected during field reviews of the action area,
 etc., and
- Potential conservation measures which may be undertaken to ensure the continued existence of listed species which may be affected by the action.

For the purpose of this Scope of Services and Cost Estimate, it is assumed that no more than six (6) species will be assessed as part of this task.

Product: Biological Assessment

Primary Responsibility: URS.

Task F2.5 Formal Section 7 Consultation

URS shall assist the Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) and the Airport with the coordination and processing of information associated with and necessary for a project specific Formal Section 7 Consultation pursuant to 50 CFR 402.14. As part of this task, URS shall assist in the development of a written request for Formal Section 7 Consultation to be submitted to the USFWS/NMFS by the federal agency undertaking the action (i.e., FAA). URS shall also provide copies of the BA developed for the project to the FAA for submittal to the USFWS/NMFS in support of the FAA/s Section 7 Consultation request.

As part of this task, URS shall attend up to three (3) meetings with the USFWS/NMFS and up to two (2) meetings with the FFWCC to discuss and review information submitted and to address questions the agencies may have on the information submitted.

PART G SERVICES AND/OR MATERIALS TO BE PROVIDED BY THE AIRPORT:

The Airport shall provide the following information or perform the following activities:

- Provide a mylar or digital copy of the most recent aerial photograph of areas which may potentially be
 used in the development of off-site mitigation areas or conservation measures at a scale not greater
 than 1"=200',
- Complete reviews of submitted documents within 14 days of submittal,
- Provide right-of-entry to sites to be reviewed during the development of conservation measures.
- Pay all permit application fees,
- Provide right-of-entry to the proposed mitigation site(s), and
- Specific purpose survey of wetland jurisdictional boundaries identified as part of Task C1.

PART H ACTIVITIES NOT INCLUDED IN SCOPE OF SERVICES

The following actions are not included in this Scope of Services. These activities may be provided under the provisions of an additional or separate Professional Service Order:

- Analysis of more than three (3) alternatives, in addition to the No-Action Alternative, in the EA or 404(b)(1) analyses
- Detailed analysis of any off-site alternatives identified in Task A
- Field investigations related to wetlands, biotic communities, and protected species in excess of the period specified in Task C1.1.
- Business or agency meetings in excess of the number specified in Part D of this Scope of Services
- Public meetings in excess of the number specified in Parts A and B of this Scope of Services
- Additional analysis beyond those specified in this Scope of Services or the requirements of FAA
 Orders 1050.1D and 5050.4A
- Formal Section 106 consultation with the SHPO, except as authorized under Task F1
- Formal Section 7 consultation with the USFWS or preparation of a Biological Assessment, except as authorized under Task F2
- Chemical or biological water quality sampling and analysis
- Formal Level 1 or Level 2 environmental audits related to hazardous materials
- Noise modeling
- Noise sensitive land use analysis related to noise modeling
- Archaeological field work, a Phase I archaeological survey, or any underwater archaeological investigations
- A formal Section 303(c) analysis and statement
- A detail demographic analysis for the environmental justice evaluation
- A detailed socioeconomic impact analysis
- Air quality dispersion modeling or General Conformity Determination
- Additional analyses of comments on the Draft EA beyond that contemplated in this Scope of Services
 or analysis of or responses to more than 1,000 pages of comments

- The development of conservation, management or restoration plans or guidelines, or listed species relocation plans or guidelines, associated with conservation measures developed as part of Task F2.3
- USFWS or Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) Incidental take permits or relocation permits for any protected species
- Development of specific purpose surveys for wetland jurisdictional limits. Preparation of topographic surveys for design purposes.
- Construction oversight activities or bid process services.

Pete:	May 12, 384		_																
Taşk	Description		thetest \$170		Marie .			Peal	Pinnella .	J. Jr Pi		Ter		1 44	min/UP		Project	1	
128241		-		- After		News	getas Creet	1 1000	- 60	200	. Eze	34-	300	-			en Labor	Project Total	Project Grand
271	Part A: Project Definition and Identificat										<u>f</u> Cost	House	Cost	Hours	Court	- Pages	Care	lane i	Total
	Part A: Project Definition and Identificat	ion of Alt	ernethres						#****** # #*#*# \$*##\$!	2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2	4844444444444444444	#erurururergrengi	3131313131313131313131	entaria ete					
	Early Hellication	2	\$240	4	\$420		\$0	4	\$300	12		_				ŀ			
	BA Introduction	•	\$000	4	\$420				\$790		\$940	4	\$240	•	\$300	12	\$2.520	\$136	\$2 950
	Definition of Purpose & Head	4	\$400	•	8040	12	\$1,500			•	\$560	12	\$720	•	\$300	42	\$3,440	\$4,458	\$7.050
м	Identification of Alternativas		\$1,300	10	\$1,000	32	\$4,800	2	\$1,520		\$500	12	\$720	10	\$800	78	56,620	\$104	\$4,804
44	Publishency Coordinates Meeting	18	\$3.000	34	\$3,570	20	\$2,500	20	\$1,940	24	\$1,600	80	\$4,000	20	\$1,000	212	\$17,560	\$310	\$17,878
	Subtotal Part A	34	\$1,120	•	SLUGG	4	10.000	-	\$2,850	#	\$3,360	18	\$960	12	\$600	170	\$16.900	\$5.904	\$22,804
	Part B: Preparation of EA							-	31,500	100	\$7,000	124	\$7,440		\$3,000	144	\$47,046	\$10,000	250,000
B 1	Affected Brokenment																		
11 1	Shody Area Definition, Stone Map		3400		\$840														
12	Description of the Affected Environment	4	\$600	20	\$2,100	•	\$500	•	\$780	20	\$1,400	20	\$1.200	•	\$400	72	\$5.780	\$942	\$6,722
	Subsetul Fact St	•	\$1,360	24		26	\$2.500	25	34.940	100	\$7.000	80	\$4,800	32	\$1,600	300	\$23,820	\$2,149	\$25 789
82	Environmental Consequences	-			\$2,540	24	\$3,000	•	\$5,700	120	\$8,400	100	\$4,000	44	\$2,000	300	529,400	\$3,601	\$32,401
2 1	Watlands	2	\$340	2															
2 2	Brotic Communities	2	\$340		\$210	•	\$500	•	\$570		\$0	4	\$240	2	\$100	20	\$1,960	\$55	\$2 015
2 3	Esterdial Figh Habital Assessment	,	\$340	2	\$210	2	\$250	4	\$360		\$0	4	\$247	2	\$100	16	\$1.520	\$30	\$1 550
	Endangered & Threstened Species	,		2	\$210	2	\$250		\$760		\$0		\$0	2	\$100	1 %	\$1,660	\$14	31 674
	Water Challey		\$340	2	\$210	5	\$250	•	\$750		\$0		\$0	2	\$100	16	\$1,660	\$26	\$1 695
	Finostatums	•	3800	12	\$1,200	24	\$3,000	40	\$3,800	10	\$1,120	20	\$1,200		\$200	120	\$11,260	\$873	\$12 131
	Haterdous Malenats		80	4	\$420	4	2500	16	\$1 520	4	\$200		\$480	4	\$200	40	\$3 400	\$13	\$3.413
	Historic/Architeological Resources		50	2	\$210	4	2500	16	\$1,520	8	\$560	8	\$480	2	\$100	40	\$3,370	\$547	\$3 917
	DOT Section 303(c) Resources	4	\$660	24	\$2,520	24	\$3,000	22	\$3.040	32	\$2,240	18	\$980	8	\$400	140	\$12,840	\$1,792	\$14,632
	Noise impacts	2	\$340	4	\$420	4	\$500	4	\$380	•	\$580	14	5840	4	\$200	40	\$3,240	36	\$3,248
	Contractitie Land Line		\$0	4	\$420	4	\$500		\$570	4	\$200	0	\$0	2	\$100	20	\$1.870	#3	
	Social Impects		\$0	2	\$210	2	\$250	4	\$360	4	\$200	•	\$300	2	\$100	20	\$1 580	\$2	\$1 875
			\$0	2	\$210	2	\$250	•	\$760	•	1280		\$0	•	\$200	20	\$1,700		\$1 562
	Induced Socioeconomic Impacts Air Quality		\$0	2	2210		50	2	\$190	2	\$140		50	2	\$100			31	\$1.701
	•	2	\$340	3	\$210	4	\$500	40	\$3,800	24	\$1.680 =	6	\$360	2	\$100	ag.	\$640	\$1	\$641
	Coastal Zone Management/Coastal Barners	2	\$340	4	\$420	4	1500	12	\$1,140	12	\$840		\$240	2	\$100	40	\$6 990	\$34	\$7 024
	W4d & Srenic Rivers		\$0	t	\$105		\$0		\$0	2	\$140	•	\$0	,			\$3 580	\$7	\$3 587
	Farmland		\$0	1	\$105		\$0		\$0	2	\$140		\$0	•	\$50		\$295	\$0	\$295
	Energy Supply & Natural Resources		\$0	2	\$210		\$0	4	\$380	,	\$560		\$240	1	\$50	4	\$295	10	\$295
	-QFH Erinesuorus		\$0	2	\$210		\$0	4	\$360		\$560	•	-	2	\$100	20	\$1 490	St	\$1.49*
	Solid Wasie Impacts		\$0	2	\$210	4	\$500	16	\$1.520	18	\$1,126	_	30	2	\$100	16	\$1 250	\$1	\$1 251
	Construction Impacts		30	4	8420	4	\$500	20	\$1 900		\$580	•	\$480	2	\$100	48	\$3 930	51	\$3 931
2 (umulative impacts/Other Considerations	4	\$680	16	\$1,660	•	\$1,000	25	\$2 ngo	20		•	\$460	•	\$208	46	\$4 060	\$22	\$4.062
	Subtotal Task #17	24	\$4,420	**	\$16,200	162	512,750	278	32 1HP) \$76,410	29 182	\$1 400		\$0	4	\$200	a n	\$7.620	\$10	\$7.630
c	raft EA Report Preparation							•••	3/7.410	187	\$12,740	110	£6,600	60	\$3,000	858	\$78,210	\$1,438	\$70,640
P	relatorary Craft EA	16	\$2.720	24	\$2.520	20	\$2 500	50	\$4,750						[
	rafi EA Fregaration	8	\$1,300	24	\$2,520	16	\$2 000	20	\$4,750 \$7,660	60	\$4 200	74	\$1 440	120	\$6 000	314	\$24 130	\$1.185	\$25.315
	Subtotal Fash #3	24	\$4,000	4	15,000	=	54.50e	79		40	\$2 800	16	\$960	220	\$1100	35.7	\$23 300	\$5,221	\$28.521
				-		-	,	70	\$7,418	100	\$7,000	40	\$2,000	340	\$17,000	004	\$47,430	34.405	\$53.035

			-		al Marian	8/1				·									
Tae	Description	Mr	\$170	1	\$105	364	\$126	Prog (*Lannarilles		tennesting	76	chrittler	A			reject	Project	Project
	<u> </u>	Henry	Char	Name of Street	Case	Harman		Magaza	305	100	570	in.	\$40		144	Te	at Labor	Tetal	Grand
								eterki i iz	Cost	Hows	Cost	Heart	Coet	<u> Heers</u>	Cost	News	Cost	Especies	Total
	Public terretreasure										1 1 1 20 1 1 THE R. P.	# 11	100	4 - 4 - 4		teranga anggar i	121	a Maria 🖺	
н (Maring List	2	\$340	4	\$420	z	\$250	2	****										
M 2	Public Information Meeting	20	\$3,400	40	\$4,200	12	\$4,000	40	\$190	24	\$1,660		\$0	15	\$800	50	\$3 680	\$2	\$3 582
14 3	Public Hearing	20	\$3,400	4	\$5,040	24			\$3.800	48	\$3,380	24	\$1,440	16	\$800	220	\$21,000	\$0.851	\$27 661
	Subtotal Fank B4	49	\$7,140	12	35.000		\$3,000	60	\$5.700	40	\$2,600	32	\$1,920	16	\$800	240	\$22 660	\$6,936	\$29 598
5	Draff EA Commant Analysis		5.,,-25	•••	,,,,,,,	*	\$7,250	192	50,000	112	\$7,640	M	\$3,300	49	\$ \$2,000	516	\$47,340	\$12,700	\$01,138
	Draft EA Comment Analysis	14	***																- ,
	,		\$2.720	40	\$4.300	*2	\$4.000	56	\$5.320	80	\$5,600		\$0	20	\$1,000	244	\$22 840	\$16	\$22 856
	Soldered Tack SS Final EA Report Properation	14	\$2,720	40	\$4,300	12	54,000	*	15,170		\$5,000		50	29	\$1,000	, m	\$27,040	516	
 M. 1													-			_	****	310	\$22,050
			\$1,360	20	\$2,100	20	\$2,500	32	\$3,040	40	\$2,800	16	\$960	100	41 no-	1			
PO 2	Final EA Preparation	4	\$680	16	\$1,680	16	\$2.000	8	\$760	312	\$2,240				\$5 000	236	\$17.760	\$1 470	\$19 230
	Subtotal Task #6	12	\$2,040	36	\$3,760	34	\$4,500	40	\$3,000	72		12	\$720	180	\$9 000	268	\$17 080	\$1 419	\$ 18 490
	Subtotal Part B	128	\$21,700	542	\$35,010	286	\$36,000	814	\$50,330		\$5,040	24	\$1,680	280	\$14,000	504	\$34,840	\$2,800	\$37,720
	Part C: Environmental Permitting				•			*/-	4>0,330	144	\$40,620	334	\$20,040	744	131,400	3160	\$258,060	\$29,637	\$207,697
C١																			
٠	Wellends Field Investigation and Jurisdictional Determination															ľ			
1 2		•	\$170	3	\$210	2	\$250	104	\$9.880	104	\$7,280	6	\$2		¥	213			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	\$340	2	\$210	•	\$750	32	\$3 040	24	\$1,660	12	\$720			4	\$17.79:	34 757	\$22,549
	Bronic Continuent Assessments	1	\$170	1	\$105	4	\$500	24	\$2,280	16	\$1,120			2	\$100	i **	\$5 840	\$365	\$7 205
	Essental Flah Habital Assessment	2	\$340	2	\$210		\$500	24	\$2,200	16		12	\$720	2	\$100	80	\$4 995	\$247	\$5,242
1 5	Protected Species Assessment	2	\$340	2	\$210	4	\$500	24	\$2,280		\$1,120	12	\$720	2	\$100	42	\$5 270	\$193	\$1 463
	Subtotal Task C1	•	\$1,360	•	2045	20	52,000			16	\$1,120	12	\$720	2	\$100	62	\$5 270	\$253	\$5.533
Ç2	RSA Design Services				****		***	364	\$10,700	174	\$17,370	44	\$2,000		[400	477	\$40,165	\$5,018	845,983
2 1	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis	2	\$340		\$840											1			
	Conceptual Design of RSA Alternatives.	2	\$340	12		24	\$3,000	8	\$7 0 0		\$0	40	\$2,400		\$0	82	\$7 340	\$1,119	38,459
	Pretending Design of Preferred RSA Attenuative	2			\$1,200	12	\$1.500	24	\$2.280		\$0	24	\$1 440		30	74	\$6 620	5462	\$7,282
	Engineering Support for Mitigation Design		\$340	14	\$1,000	24	\$3.000	40	\$3,000		so	120	\$7.200		\$0	207	\$10 020	\$1,119	\$17,139
		2	\$340	12	\$3.360	•	\$1,000		\$0		\$0	60	\$3 600		\$0	102	\$8 300	\$482	\$8.762
	Subtotal Yagh C2 Drainage Design	•	\$1,380	•	87,148	•	\$8,000	72	\$4,040		, m	344	\$14,540		50		\$30,400	\$3,162	
	· -												*****	•				\$7 MG	541,642
	Data Collection and Review	2	\$340		\$840	24	\$3,000	16	\$1 520		\$0	24	\$1 440		\$0	l	4		
	Dramage Calculations	2	\$340	4	\$420	10	\$2,000	24	\$2,200		30	19			-	74	\$7 140	\$462	\$7 602
	Dramage Report	2	\$340	8	\$840	24	\$3,000		\$0		\$0	1.5	\$960		\$0	6.7	\$6 000	\$0	\$8 000
14	Dramage Design Plans	7	\$340		\$840	24	\$3,000		\$700				S 0	40	\$2,000	74	\$6 180	\$0	\$6 180
	Subtocal Task C2		\$1,200	20	\$2,040		\$11,000			_	\$0	40	\$2 400		\$1)	8."	\$7.340	\$0	\$7 349
•	Permit Applications and Magazines Plans				V	_	********	•	34,500	•	50	•	\$4,000	40	\$2,000	282	829.666	\$462	\$27,122
	Properation of Joint Environmental Resource /																		
	404 Permit Application	•	\$400	•	\$420	20	\$3,500	-	\$8,360	72	\$5 040	64	\$3 840	10	\$900	27 e	\$22.740	end4	
	Develop Pretmarary Mitigation Plan	•	\$1,020		\$840	40	\$7,500	130	\$12.350	126	\$6,820	54	\$3.840	•				\$945	123 705
3	Miligation Construction Plans	•	2000	4	\$420	156	\$19,500	408	\$38,760		\$0				\$/.	394	\$34 170	\$2,775	\$37 145
	Subtotal Task Cd	н	\$2,300	**	1 £1,000	244	E20,500	676	150,470	100		544	\$32.640	40	\$2 00n	1 156	\$M4 OQLI	\$890	\$94 890
	Subtotal Part C	30	\$6,400	121	912,795	420	\$12,900	844			\$13,000	672	\$49,320	549	\$2,900	1828	\$131,110	\$4,630	\$195,740
					2 144. 14	-		_	800,030	374	\$20,100	1844	\$62.040	196	\$9,300	3057	\$754.415	\$14,072	5270,487

Cate:	May 12, 3004		-, IIIO.																
Took	Occadythin		Marine		-			Presi	Paragrillag	1 3	Manager	7	ectoriches	1 .		 			
		Hans	\$130 Cast	jingan	Count	- Items	2 Cont		100	-	\$79	29-	190	300	***	1,	Project old Labor	Project	Project General
	Part D: Meetings and Coordination												Court	No.	Cont	- President	Cont	Regenera	Total
	•											•					2-3-1 3-3 3-3 3-1-1-2+3+3+3	104949401040101140104 .	
٠.	Project Team Mostings, Presentations, and Co Project Team Mostings, Presentations, and	- Confinentian						•								1		S	
	Coordination (12)	104	\$17,000	130	\$13,656	104	813,900		\$0	48	\$1,360	-	****		u				
	Eubtobal Took D1	194	\$17,600	130	\$15,000	104	\$13,000	•	20	- 4	\$3,300	49	\$2,860 \$2,860	24 24	\$1,200	455	\$51,770	\$13,657	\$65.427
D2	Resource Agency Meetings Presentations, an	d Coordinati	6 41							-	,,,,,,,	-	32,000	24	\$1,200	441	\$51,770	\$13,967	\$65,427
	Pennarus Agency Meetings (7)	84	\$10,880	80	\$8,400		\$0,000		••							1			
	Subboard Took 82	64	\$14,000		\$5,400		\$6,000	_	30 50	26	\$1.960	29	\$1,000	14	\$700	270	\$31.620	\$11,329	\$42,949
	Subtotal Part D	100	\$29,700	216	\$22,000	100	\$21,000		14 5a	29 76	\$1,900	22	\$1,000	14	\$700	m	\$31,020	£11,329	542,949
	.Parl E: Project Manument							-	-		\$8,320	74	\$4,500	30	\$1,900	736	\$63,300	624,000	\$100,376
E1	Project Management															1			
	Project Management	40	\$6,800	160	\$16,800	16	\$2,000		\$0		\$0		50	120	\$6,000	335	**		
			\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50		\$0	120	30.000		\$31 600 \$0	\$349 50	\$31 949
	Subjected Tests E1	40	\$4,000	100	310,800	15	\$2,000	•	to .	•	30		30	120	\$4,000	334	\$31,660	50 5349	\$6 \$31,949
E2	Project Management Plan and Quality Addura-	ce Plan													•		131,000		331,949
	Project Management/QA Plan	4	\$000	16	\$1,000		2500	16	\$1,520							ļ			
			\$0		50	-	\$0	~	\$1.520	0	\$0		\$0	•	\$400	40	\$4,780	\$196	\$4 978
	Subtotal Took EX	4	\$000	16	\$1,000	4	1700	**	\$1,520		\$0	_	\$0		30	۰	\$0	\$0	\$0
Es	Progress Reporting						•			•	50	•	#	•	\$400		\$4,780	5100	\$4,876
	Progress Reports	•	\$1,020	24	\$2,520		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0			1			
	Subtotal Task E3	•	\$1,820	24	\$2,520	•	50		\$0		\$0	۰	\$0	•	\$300 \$300) 36 34	\$3.840	28	\$3 848
	Subtotal Part E		10.900	200	\$21,000	20	\$2,900	16	\$1,520				90	134	\$6,700		\$3,640 \$40,220	50 5335	\$3,848 \$40,775
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	420	\$71,400	839	\$96,595	900	\$120,000	1674	\$159,830	1216	\$85,120	1570	\$94,600	1126	\$54,300				
	Pari F: Optional Tasks							-			445,124	13.0	987,000	1120	200,000	7913	<u>\$485,125</u>	\$80,210	\$765.335
	Format Section 100 Coordination for Historical										•	•				ĺ			
	Conduct 108 Catardination/ Prepare MOA	14	\$2,380	\$6	\$5.800	48	\$6.000	140	\$13,300	40	\$2.800	24	\$1 440	40	\$2,000	362	\$33 800	\$3 110	\$36 916
f z	Subtotal Task Ft Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 C	14	\$2,300	*	\$5,000	-	94,000	140	\$13,200	40	\$2,000	24	\$1,440	44	\$2,000	342	\$33,000	\$3,110	\$30,910
	Protected Soucies Surveys	On the little on													i		*	V 5.112	230.010
	Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected Species		\$0	•	\$420	24	\$3,000	352	\$33 440	350	\$22 400	12	\$720		\$400	720	\$60 3R0	\$96	\$50.476
	Development of Conservation Measures	•	\$560	2	\$210	12	\$1.500	32	\$3 040	32	\$2,240	28	\$1 560	6	\$400	118	\$9.750	\$117	\$9.052
	Protoperal Assessment Development	2	\$340	2	\$210	32	\$4,000	114	\$10,830	114	\$7.000	40	\$2,400	•	\$400	312	\$28 160	\$16	\$26.176
	Formal Section ? Consultation	,	\$340	2	5210	12	\$1,500	32	\$3 040	24	\$1,680	16	3960		\$400	96	\$8 130	\$1 027	\$9.207
-	Subtour Task F2	16	\$1,360 \$2,720	16	\$1,680	46	\$5,750	48	14,560	32	\$2 240	24	\$1 440	10	\$500	184	\$17 530	\$5 319	\$22 849
	Optional Tasks Subtotal (Part F)	20	\$2,720 \$5,700	74 82	62,730 \$8,010	120 174	\$15,750	578	154,910	522	\$36,540	120	\$7,200	42	\$2.100	1430	\$121 850	\$4,620	\$120.570
							£21,750	718	\$40.210	502	\$39,340	144	\$1.040	82	\$4,100	1702	\$155.750	\$9,730	1195 480
	URS Project Total	450	\$76,500	1021	\$107,205	1134	8141,750	2392	\$227,246	1778	\$124,460	1722	\$103,320	1208	\$60,400	9705	\$840,875	509,941	\$930,816

	May 12, 2004																	
		A			al Car	Т												
Tomb	Description	Unit Cont	\$400	Unit Cost	\$75	Unit Cost	\$126	Unit Cost	Mante	P1	riang .	Ortando Tra	vel	Orimede	Lumoh	T		TRAVEL
4659		Series Control of the	Cont	10.0				Unite Com	\$50 Cont	Unit Cost	\$12		845	Unit Cost	610	Unit Cost		TOTAL
	Part A: Project Definition and Identifica										Cont		Cost		Codi	Ünite	Cont	COST
		tion of Altern	vetives							ACRES CANADAMAS OF	44 (4 + 5 12)	Marie Constanting	Dankstring I	ter to be early	a Normalia	8818/81818-4 T(D) F1	v ogstæret	
1	Early Notification		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$11									
	EA Introduction		50		\$0		\$0		20		\$0		\$0		50		\$0	\$0
A3	Definition of Purpose & Need		\$0		ŧn.		•		\$0		50		50		\$0		50	32
м	Identification of Attemptives		50		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0 _	Sc.
AS	Public/Agency Coordinator Meeting		\$2,400	2	•-		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		50		\$0	sc
- 1	Subtotal Part A	·	12,400	_	\$150	4	\$720	12	\$600	12	\$144		\$0	•	\$0		\$0	
	Part B: Preparation of Environmental Ar		12,745	2	\$150	•	8720	12	\$800	12	\$144		\$0		\$0			\$4,014
	Affected Environment	- ALEMANE									•	•	-	•	50	•	\$5	54,014
	1 2.22																	
	Study Area Definition, Base Map	1	\$400	2	\$150	•	\$120	2	\$100	2	**-							
•12	Description of the Affected Environment	2	\$800	2	\$150	2	\$240	-	\$200	-	\$24		50		\$0		\$0	\$7%2
1	Sobiotal Tank (It	•	\$1,200	4	\$300		\$300			•	\$46		\$n		\$0		\$9	\$1.435
	Environmental Consequences					•		•	1300	•	\$72	•	\$4	•	54	•	10	\$2.232
821	Wellands		50		50													
82 2	Broke Communities		40				\$0		50		\$0		Sa		50		\$0	š r
B2 3	Essented Fish Habital Associations		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$4)		\$0		So		511	
1	Endangered & Threstened Spacies		*-		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50		\$0		- '	\$1.
•	Water chalify		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		So		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1
•	Floodplans	1	\$400	2	\$150	1	\$120	2	\$190	2	\$24		so so				so	S
			\$0		\$0		\$0		So	-	\$0		•-		SO		Sir	\$794
	Hazardous Materials	1	\$400	1	\$75		\$0	1	\$50	_			\$0		\$0		Sn	\$9
	Historic/Archineological Resources	2	\$800	2	\$ 150	4	\$480	4		1	\$12		\$0		\$77		59	\$53
	DOT Section 303(c) Resources		\$0		\$0		\$0	•	\$200	4	\$48		\$0		\$0		\$9	\$1,618
82 10	Noise		50		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$ C
82 (1	Compatible Land Lise		***		to to				\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	Sc
B2 12 1	Social Impacts		•		•		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		\$9	_
82 13	Induced Socioeconomic Impacts		•		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		So		\$0		50	\$0
	Air Quality		10		50		\$0		\$0		\$ 0		Se		80		•-	\$C
	Coastal Zone Management/Coastal Barners		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		50		50		so so		\$0	\$0
	W44 & Scenic Payers		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		-3 ₀		-		••		50	5:
•			\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0 \$0		\$ 0		50		\$0	\$:
	Familiand		50		\$0		So		50				\$0		\$0		\$0	\$4.
	Energy Supply & Natural Resources		so		\$0		\$0		••		\$0	5	50		\$0		50	5
	Light Emissions		\$0		50		\$0		30		50	S	50		\$6		\$ ^	\$
B2 20 S	Sold Waste Impacts		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		\$0	5	ю		\$0		\$n	5:
82 21 C	Construction Impacts		40		Ser.				20		50	S	۵		50		50	
B2 22 C	Cumulative Impacts/Other Considerations		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	S	0		50		Sa	<u>,</u>
	Subtotal Task 52		B1,000				\$0		\$0		S a	s	4		Sc		5:	5
83 0	Draff EA Report Proparation	•	61,000	5	\$375	•	5000	,	\$350	,	\$84	0 90			40		10	
	Prehminary Draft EA													•		•	50	\$3 809
	Oraff EA Preservation		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	En			\$0			
1 "			50		\$0		\$0		\$0		50	\$0			••		SO	\$0
1	Sobletal Task B3	•	20	•	\$4	•	30	•	\$0		**	30		_	\$0		\$c	50
1 - 7	habite invelvement									•	-	- 39	•	•	24	•	50	\$0
841 M																		

7	J		-		d Cw		-		Made	1	Mag	Ortonal		Ortgodo				
	Description	Unit Cost	****	Unit Coul	876	Unit Cost	\$120	Unit Cost	\$20	Unit Cont	312	Unit Cost	\$45	Unit Corp	510	Unit Coor		TRAVEL
			Cont	<u> </u>	Cest	(profits	Cool	Unite	Cost	Units	Cost	Umbe	Const	Under	Cast	Units	Cost	COST
4 2	Public Information Meeting	#+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0404 _	######################################							Haliber (1889)								
	Public Happing		\$2,400	2	\$160	•	\$720	12	\$600	12	\$144		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$4,014
	- '	4	\$2,400	2	\$180		\$720	12	\$000	12	\$144		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$4,014
	Subsected Total Bit	12	\$4,000	4	8300	12	\$1,448	24	\$1,200	12	5394		50		==		50	\$8,620
-	Draft EA Comment Analysis							,						•	*-	-	••	
	Draft EA Comment Analysis		\$0		50		\$0		so		\$0		50		20			
	Subtatel Took BS	•	**	•	\$0	•	\$9				**	_	•	**	-		50	\$0
	Final EA Repart Propertyles									•	-	•	-	**	***	•	\$1	\$0
64 1	Profiningry Final EA Properation		\$0		10		\$0		30									
M .2	Final EA Properation		20		100		20				50		\$0		50		\$0	\$0
	Subdicted Facility				-		20	_	\$0		\$0		\$0		50		so	\$0
	Subtotal Part B	110	\$7,000	13	9675			•	54	•	\$8	•	34	•	\$4	•	54	54
	Part C: Environmental Permitting	-		••	30 15	27	\$2,496	37	\$1,000	25	5444	•	\$4	•	58	•	\$0	\$13,200
cr.	Data Collection and Assessment																	
	Violands Field Investigation and Jurisdictional																	
	Determination	4	\$1,000	10	\$750	10	\$1,200	20	\$1,000	10	\$120		\$0		\$0			
	Welland Jurisdictional Report		\$0		30		50		50		\$0		\$o		50		50 50	\$4,670
	Biolic Communit Assessments		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$n		\$0 \$0		SO SO			\$0
C1 4	Essenital Fish Habital Assessment		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		-				\$0	\$0
C1 5	Protected Spaces Assessment		\$0		so		\$0		\$0				\$0		\$0		50	50
	Subtotal Task C1	4	\$1,000	10	\$790	16	\$1,200	20	\$1,000		\$0	_	\$0		20		50	\$ 0
Cz	RSA Design Services				•			25	31,500	10	\$120	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	50	\$4.570
C2 1	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis	2	\$800	1	\$75	1	\$120											
	Conceptual Design of RSA Alternatives	-	\$400	•	50	•		3	\$100	7	\$74		\$0		50		\$0	\$1,119
	Preferency Design of Preferred RSA Attemptive		\$800		-		SO	1	\$50	1	\$12		\$0		\$0		50	\$462
	Engineering Support for Mitigation Design	1		1	\$75	1	\$120	2	\$100	2	\$24		\$0		50		\$0	\$1,119
	Subtotal Task C2	•	\$400		\$0		so	1	\$50	1	\$12		\$0		\$0		\$ 0	\$462
٠.	Drainage Design	•	62,400	2	\$150	2	\$240	•	\$300	•	672		90	•	\$0	•	50	\$3,162
	· -																	
	Data Collection and Review	•	\$400		50		\$0	1	150	•	\$12		50		\$0		50	\$462
	Drainage Calculations		\$0		\$0		50		50		5 0		50		50		\$c	\$0
	Dranage Report		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50	\$0
.1	Oramage Design Plans		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$ 0		\$n		\$Q		50		\$c	
	Subtotal Task C3	1	\$400	•	\$0	•	50	•	150		\$12		\$0	_	\$0 \$0			\$0
C4	Permit Applications and Mitigations Plans									·	•••	_	••	•	30	•	\$0	3462
41	Preparation of Joint & numerical Resource / 404 Permit Application				_													
	Develop Pretennary Mepotion Plan		50		\$0		50		S.1		\$.·		\$17		5 *		5:	So
	Magakon Construction Plans	2	\$800	5	\$375	5	\$600	10	\$500	5	\$60		\$o		\$0		5 :	\$2,335
- •			\$0		\$0		\$0		511		S U		\$u		So		So	50
	Subtotal Band S	2	\$800	5	\$375	5	2600	10	1500	5	\$60	a	80	a	\$0	•	\$0	\$2,335
	Subtotal Part C	13	\$5,200	17	81,275	17	\$2,040	37	\$1,850	22	\$264		30	•	50		58	\$10,029
	Part D: Meetings and Coordination										•	-		-		•		710,027
1	Project Team Meetings, Presentations, and Co-	prefination		•														
	Project Team Meetings	27	\$10,800	11	\$825		\$720											
	Subsolat Task ()1	27	\$10,000	11	8825	-	•	13	\$650	33	\$396	3	\$135	9	\$90		S 0	\$13,616
		••	410,000	**	6929	•	\$720	13	2 8650	33	5398	3	8135	•	590	0	10	\$13,616

	May 12, 2004																	
Task	0		rtury		Mai Cur		latel	0.0	y Mastu	1 .	ariting	T 64	do Travel					
	Description	Unit Cost	\$400	Unit Cost	\$75	Unit Cost	\$120	Link Cost	190	Unit Cost	\$12	Unit Cost	845	Unit Cost	do Lunch 510	Unit Cost		TRAVEL
		i de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	Cost	l Units	Cont		Ces	Unto	Count	Units	Creet	Unite	Cast	Umba	Cest	Units	Cost	TOTAL
			****************		242-74748484742484240	*****************	.a.a.aa.a	a province service	e e i to il testi	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		Application to	70.00					
ш	Resource Agency Meetings Procentalisms, an	d Copydinates																
	Removate Agency Meetings	21	\$8,400	•	\$675		\$720	11	\$550	27	\$324	٥	\$0	٠,	\$0			
	Subtotal Total (1)	21	\$8,400	•	9675		8720	11	1500	27	\$324		 sa	-	***	_	\$0	\$10,669
	Sublotal Part D	44	\$19,200	20	\$1,000	12	\$1,440	24	\$1,200		5729	,				•	50	319,669
	Part E: Project Manament								* -	-	3129	•	\$125		500	•	\$0	\$24,265
ΕI	Project Management																	
	Project Management		\$0		50		\$0		\$0									
٠			\$0		\$0		•				\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtotal Tagh Et	•	30		14		•		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	S n
	Project Management Plan and Duality Assura			•	**	-	-	•	84	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$6	•	\$0	\$4
		nce Plan																
	Project Management/QA Plan		\$0		\$0		\$0		So		50				4-			
			\$0		30		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$ 0
	Subtotal Tech E2	•	50	•	\$0	•	50				50 50		\$0		30		\$0	\$c
£ 3	Progress Reporting							•	-	•	14	•	14	•	\$4	•	\$0	10
	Progress Reports		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0									
	Subtotal Tank E3	٠	10		50		10				\$0		\$ 0		\$0		\$0	\$0 °
	Subtotal Part E	•	50		Se .		50		\$0	•	\$0	۰	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	50
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)			-		•	•••	•	\$0	•	50	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$0
		96	\$34,400	52	\$3,900	55	\$6,800	110	\$5,500	119	\$1,572	3	\$135	•	\$90	0	\$0	\$52,197
	Part F: Optional Tasks															•		832,137
		generates par	McI															
	Conduct 106 Couldination/ Prepare MOA	6	\$2,400	2	\$150	0	\$0	2	\$100	6	\$72		\$0		\$0			
	Subtestal Took Ft	•	\$2,400	2	\$180	•	\$0	2	\$100		₹ 172	_	10	_	**	_	\$0	\$2,722
F2	Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 (enculation								-	- 4.2	•	•	•	**	•	14	\$2,722
2 1	Protected Species Surveys Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$ 0		\$n				_			
22	Species		50		40		•		-		20		\$n		\$n		50	\$
2.3	Development of Conservation Measures		\$0		**		\$0		\$ 0		\$0		\$0		\$0		sa	S
	Biological Assessment Development		•		30		\$0		Su		\$0		\$r		\$0		\$e	\$9
	Formal Section ? Consultation	10	\$0	_	20		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$ 0
		-	\$4,000	5	8375		\$0	10	\$500	5	\$60		\$0		\$0		50	54 935
	Sobiotal 7msh F2	16	\$4,000	5	\$375	•	30	10	5500	5	\$66	•	\$4	•	\$0	9	34	\$4 935
	Optional Tasks Subtotal (Part F)	16	50,400	,	8825	•	90	12	1600	11	8132	•	\$0	•	50		50	87.657
	Project Total	102	\$40,000	20	\$4,425	55	\$4,606	122	\$4,100	130	\$1,784	3	\$135		590	-		81.931

5 27:0

nek Description	Vet Con	\$5,40		r Belt		1217		11017				-ation	Overside	i Miliperant		· - I	PRODUCTION
		Cont	Unit Coat	Caust	Unit Cost Links	90.26 Cont	Unit Copp	98,75	Unit Con	20,31	Unit Cost	\$1,00	Unit Cool	\$25.00	Unit Cost	\$5,00	TOTAL
										Cont	Units	<u> </u>	<u> U-in</u>	Cost			COST
Part A: Project Definition and Identifical	ion of Alterna	dives							*******			40.040.0.0.040404740		i dentambili	4184 MBCR	100,000	Jaarnaminen menum (1.3)
A1 Early Hattication	240	519		\$0		\$0	80	\$45		\$0		50	2	\$50			
A2 EA Introduction	100	54	30	\$18		30		\$0	26	\$14	20	\$28	_	\$50		\$0	\$114
A3 Delinition of Purpose & Head	190	312	54	\$34		80	, 20	\$21	28	\$14	26	\$20	2			\$0	\$118
A4 Identification of Alternatives	850	500	28	\$17		50	112	584	28	\$14 \$14			3	\$75		\$0	1184
N5 Public/Agency Countination Meeting	200	\$18	45	\$27		\$0		\$0	28		28	\$26	3	\$75		\$0	\$286
Subtotal Part A	1846	\$123	180	505		**	200	\$190		\$0		\$0	¥ 2	\$50		\$0	\$93
Part B: Preparation of Environmental As	-			•	•	_		\$130	84	142	84	594	12	\$300	•	\$0	\$795
11 Affected Environment																	
1 1 Stody Area Deliminon, Base Map	25	\$2		50		50											
1.2 Description of the Attacted Environment	500	\$40	12	\$7	24	56	50	\$30		50		\$0	2	\$50	2	\$10	\$100
Subtotal Yeah #1	526	842	12	\$7	 24	36 58	24	\$10		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$n	571
2° Environmental Consequences				•		34	74	§ 54	•	\$0	•	30	2	\$50	2	\$16	8171
2 1 Wellands	50	34		\$0													
2.2 Biolic Communities	50	54 54		50		\$0	4	\$3		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	57
2.3 Essential Fish Habital Assessment	50	я		•••		\$0	2	\$2		\$0		\$0		50		\$0	\$6
4 Endangered & Threatened Species	50	54		\$0		\$0	2	\$27		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$c	\$6
2.5 Water Quality	250			\$0		\$0	2	\$2		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$9	\$6
2.4 Floodplans	10	\$20		50		\$0	25	\$19		\$0		\$0		\$0		50	\$39
2.7 Hazardous Materials		\$1		50		\$0	5	\$4		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$1	55
2 B Historic/Archaeological Resources	25	12		\$0		\$0	5	54		\$0		\$5		50		\$6	\$6
2.9 DOT Section 303(c) Resources	200	\$16		50		\$0	10	\$8		50		\$0	2	\$50		\$0	\$74
10 None	10	\$1		\$0		\$0	2	\$2		\$0		\$0		\$ 0		SC	\$2
11 Compatible Land Use	10	\$1		\$0		\$0	5	\$4		\$0		\$0		\$0		10	\$5
	10	\$1		\$0		\$0	2	\$2		30		\$0		50		\$7	\$7
12 Social impacts	10	\$1		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	ş. Şi
13 Induced Socioeconomic Impacts	10	\$1		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50		\$.	\$1
14 Ar Quality	100	\$8		\$0	2	\$1		\$0		50		50	1	\$25		\$e	\$34
15 Coastel Zone Management Coastal Barners	25	\$2		\$0	5	\$ 1	5	34		so		50		\$0		50	\$7
16 Wild & Scenic Rivers	5	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$n		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$2	50 50
17 f pemigrafi	5	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		So		\$0		\$11	-
18 Energy Supply A Natural Resources	10	\$1		\$0		\$ 0		\$0		50		50		\$0		5	\$a \$*
19 Lapht Emissions	10	\$1		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		\$n		5:)		,	5°
70 Sold Waste Impacts	15	\$1		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		50		5	-
21 Construction Impacts	25	\$2		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$n		\$0		\$0 \$0		5'	\$1
22 Cumulative Impacts/Other Considerations	25	\$2		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50 50		5.,	\$2
Subtotal Tash B2	965	576	•	50	7	\$2	••	852		j.	0	30	,	\$75	0		5:
Ursit EA Report Preparation									-	-	v	20	•	*/3	•	10	1201
Preimmary Draft EA	5400	\$512	128	\$77	64	\$16	512	\$384	64	\$32	64	\$64				4.	
2 Draft EA Preparation	19000	\$1,520	380	\$228	190	\$48	1520	\$1.140	190	Sur.			-	\$100		\$"	\$1,185
Subtotal Task #3	25400	\$2,032	546	2305	254	\$64	2032	\$1,524	254	\$127	190	3190	75	\$1,875		\$10.5	\$5.271
Public Involvement								*1,***	234	3121	254	\$254	79	\$1,975	25	\$125	\$6,405
Maning Lest	25	\$7		80		\$0		1 \$ 11									

Dyste:	May 12, 2004		•															
Tagé			Mr Serif	Con			Harl7	64	w 11x17	7 -				1				
	Description	Unit Cost		Unit Cost	30.80	Unit Cont	\$9.25	Unit Cost	\$4.75	Unit Cont	FA.86	Unit Cost	\$1.41	Oversight Unit Cost	Shipmen	Unit Cour	Do 15.00	PRODUCTION
15.135		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cost	Unite	Cee	J. U-Sa	Ceel	Units	Cont	Unite	Cost	Units	Cont	Unite	Cost	Unite	Cost	COST
	Public Information Meeting		*************					सम्बद्धाः स्				i d Jagda di			area e e e			
	- '	150	\$12	50	\$30		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50	\$42
84.3	Patric Hearing	150	\$12	50	\$30		50		\$0		\$8		\$0		80		•-	
	Subtotal Tank (04	225	\$26	190	\$00		**		10		-			_	*-		\$0	\$42
95	Draft EA Comment Analysis						**		-	•	**	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	10	288
	Draft EA Comment Analysis	200	\$16		\$0			•										
	Subtotal Tank IIIS		\$16		**		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0 `	\$16
84	Final EA Report Preparation		***	•	30	•	50	•	24	•	10		34	4.	10	•	54	516
	Pretiminary Faul EA Properation																	
		9000	\$720	144	306	144	536	576	\$432	64	\$32	64	564	4	\$100		\$0	\$1,470
95.2	Final EA Proporation	8500	\$680	136	\$82	136	\$34	544	\$408	60	\$30	60	\$60		\$100	5	\$25	
	Robinsof Took 80		\$1,400	200	\$186	200	\$70	1120	5948	124	\$42			-				\$1,419
	Subtotal Part B	27405	\$3,502	900	2540	PAG	\$141	3296	\$2,471			124	\$124	•	\$200	5	\$25	\$2,869
	Part C: Environmental Permitting		-				•	*255	82,471	374	3186	370	8378	92	\$2,700	32	1160	\$9,772
C1 \	Data Cultration and Assessment																	
	Wetlands Field Investigation and Jurisdictional																	
CII	Determination		\$0		50		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$ 0			
CI 3	Wetland Jurisdictional Report	1000	\$80	100	\$60		50	100	\$75	20	\$10			_			\$0	50
C1 3	Brotic Communil Assessments	400	\$32	100	\$60		So	100				10	\$10	2	\$50		\$0	\$285
C1 #	Essential Fish Habitat Assessment	400	\$32	60	\$38		•		\$75	20	\$10		50	2	\$50		\$0	\$22?
	Protected Spacers Assessment	800	\$48				\$0	60	\$45	20	\$10		\$0	2	\$50		\$0	\$173
	Subjected Tank CT			100	\$40		\$0	100	\$75	50	\$10		10	2	\$50		\$0	\$241
٠.	RSA Design Services	2400	\$102	300	\$214	•	şa	260	\$270	84	540	10	\$10		\$200		10	\$872
	Engineering Support for Attenuatives Analysis		\$0		\$D		\$0		50		\$o		\$0		\$0		\$0	••
	Conceptual Design of RSA Atternatives		SO		50		\$0		\$n		\$0		\$0		\$0			\$0
C2 3	Prehmusary Design of Preferred RSA Alternative		50		\$0		SO		4 0		Sci				_		\$0	\$D
C2 4	Engineering Support for Miligation Design		\$0		\$0		\$0		•		-		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtotut Task C2	•	50	_	20	_	 m		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
CJ	Orainage Design	-		•	-	•	***	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	50	•	50	50
	Data Collection and Review																	
	Oramope Calculations		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		so	\$o
	-		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		5 0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Dramage Report		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		Se		50		\$0	\$0
-3 4	Dramage Design Plans		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$ 0				-	
	Subtotal Task C3	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$4		54		10		30 to	_	50		\$0	\$0
C4	Permit Applications and Mitigations Plans						•-	•		•	30	•	54	•	34	•	14	\$0
	Preparation of Joint Environmental Resource /																	
	404 Permit Application	8000	\$640		\$0	400	\$100	100	\$75	40	\$20		\$0	2	\$50		\$0	3885
	Develop Pretmisspry Mispation Plan	500	\$40		50	1600	\$400		\$0		\$0		50	•				
4 3	Mrigation Consinuction Plans	3000	\$240		\$0	1800	\$400		\$0	30	\$15			_	\$0		\$0	\$440
	Subtestel Tank C4	11500	\$926		50	3600	3900	100	\$75			_	\$0	3	\$75		\$0	\$730
	Subtotal Part C	13000	\$1,112	-	5210	***		***		70	\$25	4	\$4	5	\$125	•	SO	\$2,055
	Part D: Meetings and Coordination		41,112		22.00		****	***	2345	150	\$75	10	\$10	13	1325	•	50	\$2,983
	Project Team Mortings, Procentations, and God	o dinetto n																
	Project Team Mertings	200	\$16		\$0	100	\$25		\$n		\$0		**					
	Submini Tank Di	200	\$10		94	190	825		**	_	**	_	\$0		\$0		\$0	541
				-				•	2 34	•	15		44	•	44		10	641

	Vay 12, 2004																	
Test	Description .		M Berli		er Brit		11617	G	ter 19417	T 7	and the same	T - 1-2		T 8	re Shipman			
		- Unit Cont		Made Court	go,so Gest		21.25	U-1 Cost	\$0.75	Hart Com		Unit Cont	\$1,44	Allerta China			35.00	PRODUCTION
							Cart		Coot	<u> </u>	Cout	Umba	Cont	i jang	Cont	Q and a	Com	TORAL COST
DZ	Resource Agency Meetings Processations, or		_					analis en en lastadadas	(+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0F(0+0*)	191917 (917) (914) (117)	37345754545454545555			PRESIDENT.				
	Resource Agency Meetings	500																
	Subtoni Teat 02	500	\$40	_	\$0	100	\$25	100	\$75		\$0		\$0	*	\$0		\$0	\$140
	Subtotal Part ()	744	340	•	38	100	\$25	100	\$75	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	Se		\$4	\$140
	Part E: Project Manament	-	\$94	•	*	200	650	100	\$79	•	\$4	•	\$8	•	50		29	5181
E١																_	•-	***
	Project Management	500		_														
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	900	\$40 \$0	•	\$0	•	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	17	\$300		Se	\$340
•	Subtotal Tash E1	500	•		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
			540	•	54	•	50	•	\$4	•	50	•	\$0	12	5300		56	£340
E2	Project Management Plan and Quality Assura	mce Plan															••	•
	Project Management/GA Plan	1300	\$104	30	\$10	15	S4											
			\$0		50		50		\$0	15	\$8	15	\$15	2	\$50		50	\$198
	Subappi Task E2	1300	5164	-	\$18	15	 M	_	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$e	50
EJ	Progress Reporting				•		_	•	10	15	14	15	\$15	2	150	•	\$4	\$195
	Progress Reports	60	\$5		50	12	\$3											
	Subtotel Task E3	60	55		54	12		_	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$8
	Subtotal Part E	1868	\$149	26	518	27	\$3 \$7	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	80	0	\$0	\$0
				••		41	31	•	\$4	15	\$4	15	\$15	14	\$350	•	50	2546
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	45405	\$5,032	1449	\$869	4392	\$1,098	4055	\$3,041	627	\$314	407	4 407					
_	Part F: Optional Tasks								*****		4314	407	\$487	131	\$3,275	32	\$160	514,277
F1	Fernal Section 106 Coordination for Historica	d Rossurce Inc	paçi															
	Conduct 106 Constinution/ Prepare MOA	1000	\$80	15	\$9	30	50	15	311	26	\$13							
	Subtotal Task F1	1900	580	15	50	30	\$0	15	\$11	20	513 ~≈\$13	30	\$30	6	\$150		\$0	\$301
	Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 (Consultation							•	••	4-513	30	230	•	\$150	٥	80	\$301
2 1	Protected Species Surveys Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected		\$0		50		\$ a		\$n		S n							
2 2	Species	200	\$16		\$0		\$0				_		\$0		30		S n	\$0
2 3	Development of Conservation Measures	200	\$16		\$0		\$0 \$0		50		\$0		SO		\$0		So	5:4
2 4	Biological Assessment Development	3000	\$240	300	\$180	300	\$75		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$16
15	Formal Section 7 Consultation	400	\$32	100	360	100	\$25	300	\$225	30	\$15		\$0	6	\$150		SO	\$865
	Subtolal Task F2	3880	\$304	400	5240	400		100	\$75		\$0		\$0		50		\$0	\$192
	Optional Tasks Subtotal (Part F)	4100	5394	411	\$249		\$100	400	E300	30	\$15	•	\$0		\$150	•	50	\$1,109
			****	719	2542	430	\$10\$	415	\$311	56	\$70	30	\$30	12	\$300	•	So	31.410
_	Project Total	50205	\$5,416	1864	31,118	4822	\$1,206	4470	\$3,353	***	***							
							7.00	44.9	41,131	483	\$342	517	\$517	143	\$3,575	35	\$160	\$15,686

Teek			DOUTS		TON BOARDS		EPORTERS.	MAZMAT D	ATA SEARCH	POS	TAGE	Plate (24		ADVERTIS	PARMYS T	OTH		OTHER
-	Description	Unit Cost	\$1.00	Unit Cool	- 899	Unit Cont	\$1,000	Unit Cost	1000	Unit Cont	\$4.37	Unit Cost	. \$4	Unit Cost	\$799	Unit Cool	\$0	TOTAL
			Cost		Cont		Cont	1	Cost	l Martin	Cost	Unite	Const			Unite	Cost	COST
	Parl A: Project Definition and Identifica	Hon of Abo			************	1944-144-144-144-144	180908190303033333	R (1886-861) 1886-86	anaraharaharaharah	regeren generalistische die	enconjorante ela		rantoakinantiatura		Jana Barrella anto	14 (175,93)		
	Early Hollication		**		\$0													
	EA Infroduction				•••		30		\$0	40	\$22		50		\$0		\$0	\$22
	Delatica of Pursons & Name		\$0		\$0		30		\$0		50		30		\$0	1	\$4,300	\$4,300
	Manification of Allematives		50		\$0		\$0	•	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0 .	\$0
			\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	•	\$32		\$0		\$0 ·	\$32
~5	Public/Agency Coordination Meeting	250	\$375	50	\$405	1	\$1,000		\$0	60	\$22		50	4 0	\$0		\$0	\$1,797
	Subtotal Part A		\$376	20	\$400	1	\$1,000	•	\$e	120	\$44	•	\$32	•	10	,	84,300	\$6,151
	Part B: Preparation of Environmental	Assessment															- 1000	
	Affected Environment																	
	Study Area Dehinton, Base Map		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	12	\$46		50		\$0	\$48
81. 2	Description of the Affected Environment		\$0		50		50	ı	\$500		\$0	10	\$40		\$0		50	\$46 \$640
	Subtotal Tank #1	•	54	•	\$6	•	10	1	3000		#- \$4	22	184	_	**		50 50	
B2`	Environmental Consequences									-	-	4	,,,,	•	30	•	***	\$460
82 1	Wedands		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0									
B2 2	Bolic Communées		\$0		50		\$0		**		\$0	12	\$48		\$0		\$0	\$48
BZ 3	Essential Fish Habitat Assessment		\$0		10		••		30		\$0	6	\$24		\$0		\$0	\$24
B2 4	Endangered & Threatened Species		50		•				90		\$0	2	\$4		\$0		\$0	\$4
B2 5	Water Guelly		10				30		50		\$0	5	250		\$0		\$0	\$20
	Floodylains.		\$0		**		30		10		20	10	\$40		\$0		\$0	340
	Hazardous Materials		\$0		30		30		20		\$0	2	\$8		\$0		5 0	18
	Historic/Archieological Resources		•-		10		\$0		\$0		\$0	1	\$4		\$0		50	\$4
	DOT Section 303(c) Resources		\$0		20		\$0		\$0		\$0	10	\$40		\$0		So	\$40
	Nose		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	1	\$4		\$0		\$0	84
			\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		\$0	50
	Compatible Land Use		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Social Impacts		\$0		50		30		\$0		\$0		50		\$5		50	\$0
	Induced Socioeconomic Impacts		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50		SO SO	so
IZ 14	Air Chaptily		\$0		\$0		\$0		10		10		40		so			**
	Coestal Zone Management/Coastal Barners		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		- 50		£A		\$0		30	30
2 16	W4d & Scenic Rivers		30		\$0		\$0		ŧn.		\$0		•		Sa Sa		\$0	\$0
Z 17	Familiand		\$0		\$0		50		10		50				\$0		30	\$0
2 18	Energy Supply & Hatural Resources		50		\$0		\$0		•••		\$0		\$0 \$0		20		50	\$0
2 19	Light Emissions		50		50		\$0		•0						\$1.		\$0	\$0
2 20	Solid Waste Impacts		50		50		\$n		30		\$0		\$0		5.		\$0	50
221 -	Construction Impacts		50		**		10		30		S 0		\$0		\$c·		Se	\$0
	Cumulative Impacts/Other Considerations		\$0		50 50				\$0		\$0	5	\$50		5 0		\$c	\$20
	Submited Yeark 82		9	_	**	_	\$0 		50		50	2	\$8		\$0		\$0	56
83 (Craft EA Report Proparation	•	**	•	34	•	\$4	•	54	•	\$4	64	\$224	•	50	•	14	\$224
	Pretomary Draft EA						4.											
	Draft EA Préparation		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
			50	,	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtotal Task 83	•	58	•	\$40	•	54	•	\$0	•	50	•	\$0	•	34		10	\$0
14 1	Public Invelvament																	

Dete:	May 12, 2001																	
Tank			ocarra	PRESENTAT	ION BOATES	COUNT	E-ORTANA	HAZMAT	DATA SEARCH	POST	And .	T 9344 #	H" = 36")					
1865	Concertption .	World Count	\$1,50	Unit Cost	\$30	Vel Curt	\$1,000	Unit Con		Unit Cont	30,37	Unit Cost	<u> </u>	Unit Cost	\$790	OTH Code	*	OTHER TOTAL
			Cont		Cont		Cent		Cea	Vete	Cost	Unite	Cust	Units	Cont	i de la constante de la consta	Cept	5081
	Public Information Allegation		****															
	Public Headers	250	\$375	20	\$400	2	\$2.000		\$0	90	\$30		50	0	\$0		\$0	\$2,805
-		300	\$480	20	\$400	2	\$2,000		\$0	\$ 0	\$30		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,800
	Subtated Tank &d	689	\$826	40	2000	4	\$4,000		**		\$30		-	•				\$5,004
-	Draft EA Comment Analysis							,					-		*-	_		-
	Draft EA Comment Analysis		\$0		\$0		\$0	-	90		So.		**		-		`	4-
	Subsect Took &6	•	90	•	-		90		•	_		_		**-	-		\$0	\$0
34	Phot &A Report Properation								_	•	-	•		44		•	39	**
86.1	Profesionary Final EA Propagation		-		-				_									
	Final EA Proportion		_		-		80		30		\$0		20		30		\$0	\$0
	Subject Test DE		-		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtotal Part B		14	•	50	•	80	•	\$0	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	50
		150	\$425	40	3000	4	54,000	1	\$000	**	\$50	78	6312	•	**	•	54	30,000
_	Part C: Environmental Permitting																•	
C1	Date Collection and Assessment Wellinds Field Investigation and Jurisdictional																	
Ç1 1	Delamination		t n		**		to.										•	
C1.2	Welland Jurisdictional Report		50				30		50		\$0	20	\$80		50		\$0	\$80
	Biolic Communit Assessments		•-		30		\$0		\$0		\$0	20	\$80		\$0		50	\$80
	Essential Fish Hebrat Assessment		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$0	5	\$20		\$0		\$0	\$20
	Protected Species Assessment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	5	\$20		\$0		\$0	\$20
C1.5			\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$0	5	120		\$0		50	120
	Subtatel Tesh Cf	•	50	•	\$0	•	50		\$0		te	\$5	5220	•	-		\$0	\$220
	RSA Design Services														-	•	-	0220
Q 1	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis		50		\$0		\$0		5 0		\$0		\$0					
C2.2	Conceptual Design of RSA Atternatives		50		\$0		en .		••		SO.				\$0		\$0	\$0
2 3	Protomary Design of Preferred RSA Alternative		\$o		50		5 0		***		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
C2 4	Engineering Support for Mitigation Design		20		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$ 0
	Sobtotal Task C2		**		30	_	•••		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	50
Ca	Drainage Design	•	**	•	34	•	\$0	•	\$4	•	50	•	20	•	20	•	50	\$5
	Data Collection and Review																	
			\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		_50		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Drawage Calculations		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		`_so		\$0		\$0		50	\$0
	Dramage Report		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		So		Se		Sco.		\$0	50
Ç3 4	Oramage Design Plans		\$0		\$0		50		10		\$0		50		50		50	
	Subtatel Test C3		50	•	\$4	•	\$0		5.0		**		**	_	10	_		\$0
	Permit Applications and Mitigations Plans								-	•		•	34	•	**	•	50	\$4
	Presention of Joint Environmental Resource / 404 Permit Application																	
			30		\$0		30		\$0		\$0	20	\$80		\$0		\$0	\$80
	Develop Preliminary Magation Plan		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50	\$0
34 1	Migaton Construction Plans		\$0		80		50		\$0		50	40	\$160		50		\$0	\$160
	Subtotal Tosh C4	•	\$0	•	50	•	\$4		\$0	•	14		5740		**		34	
	Subtotal Part C		90	•	90	•	50		10			115	5464	•		•		\$240
	Parl D: Meetings and Coordination							•		•	-	113	****	•	34	•	\$0	£400
Ds ·	Project Team Meetings, Procentations, and Cos			-														
		- College																
	Project Team Meetings		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		50		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subjected Tank D1	•	64	•	66	•	50		. 50			•	10	•	48		\$0	-

		HA	HOOUTS	PRESENT	ATION BOARDS	COURT	EPORTERS	MATRIAT D	TA SEARCH	T "						,		
Teet	Description	Unit Cost	\$1.80	Unit Cost	\$20	Unit Cour	31,000	Unit Con	\$000	Unit Cost	97.ADE 98.37	Unit Coal	24" x 30") 24	ADVERT	10EMENTS \$790	Unit Cost	HER .	OTHER
		U-to	Cent	l ven	Cont	9-9-	Cook	49-4-	-			Upda	T Gast	Unite	Cost	Units Units	Cost	COST
		lane et hen tetet	2109292919291929	FREFER (PER ET) PROPERTY		MANAGE STE												
02	Resource Agency Meetings Presentations, pri	d Coordinada	-															
	Resource Agency Meetings		\$0	20	\$400		\$0		30		\$0	30	\$120	4	en .		••	-
	Subtotal Tank (1)	•	\$0	20	\$400	•	\$0	•	50		**	_	\$120			_	**	\$520
	Subtotal Part D	•	\$0	20	\$400	•	\$0	•	30		-	_	6120				**	622 622
	Part E: Project Manament									-	•	_		•	-	•	-	1529
E1	Project Management																	
	Project Menagement		\$0		30		\$0		50	25	29		**		••		••	
	•		\$0		SO		\$0		30		10		••		**		30	39
	Subtotal Task E1	•	\$4	•	50		\$8		80	25		_	**		50		50	50
Ež	Project Management Plan and Quality Assura	ara ĉias							•=		••	•	•	•		•		#4
	Project Management/OA Plan																	
	The state of the s		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		20		\$0		30		\$ 0	\$0
			\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$ 0	\$0
	Sublated Tank E2 Progress Reporting	•	30	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$8	•	*	•	*	•	**	•	\$4	59
	Progress Reports																	
			\$0		\$0		\$0		50		\$0		50		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtotal Part E	•	34	•	50	•	\$4	•	50	•	50	•	\$4	•	50	•	\$0	\$0
	· -	•	14	•	\$8	•	50	•	\$0	25	89	•	54	•	\$0		\$0	59
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	800	\$1,200	80	\$1,600	5	\$5,000	1	2000	225	\$113	231	\$824		20		\$4,300	*
	Part F: Optional Tasks									_			444	•	•	•	84,380	\$13,737
F1	Formal Section 105 Coordination for Historica	ł Rossurce in	y ed															
	Conduct 106 Coordinator/ Prepare MOA		\$0	4	\$80		\$0		\$0	20	\$7		\$0		\$0		\$0	
	Subtotal Task F1	•	34	4	200		50		**	30			***		30	_	30	\$67
F2	Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7	Consultation							**		• •	•	**	•	34	•	**	\$87
2 1	Protected Species Surveys Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected		\$0		\$0		\$0		50		\$0	24	596		••		50	
F2 2	Species		\$0		841								4		30		30	\$95
F2 3	Development of Conservation Measures		\$0		\$0 \$0		•		30		\$0	24	596		\$0		50	\$96
	Biological Assessment Development		\$0		50		**		30		\$0		50		\$0		30	\$0
	Formal Section 7 Consultation		50		to		**		20		\$0	48	\$192		\$0		50	\$192
	Subtatel Fact F2						**		\$0		20	44	\$197		\$0		50	5192
	Optional Tasks Subtotal (Part F)		-	•	***	-		•	**	•	\$0	144	\$578	•	50	•	90	\$576
	·	•	-	•	304	•	14	•	**	20	87	144	8976	•	\$0	•	\$0	5063
_	Project Total	800	\$1,200	. 84	\$1,680		\$5,000	1	5000	246	\$120	375	\$1,000		-		\$4,300	\$14,400



May 25, 2004 04-3006

Ms. Bevette Moore, Airport Business Office County of Monroe, Florida
Key West International Airport

Key West, Florida 33040

Tel: 305-295-5195 Fax: 305-292-3578 # of pages faxed: 13

Reference:

Independent Fee Estimate

Key West International Airport

Environmental Assessment & Environmental Permitting for the

Development of Runway Safety Areas for Runway 9/27

Dear Ms. Moore:

We have performed an independent fee estimate for professional services associated with the above referenced project at Key West International Airport. The independent estimate is based on the scope of work narrative and pro-forma fee proposal format provided by your consultant.

We have submitted a detailed breakdown of the labor-hour estimates, travel, production and other out-of-pocket expenses for your review. Based on the estimates prepared, our independent estimate of the professional services fees and out-of-pocket expenses for the on the base scope of services is \$776,523 and for the optional task another \$134,241, for a total budget (including the optional tasks) of \$910,765.

Our analysis provides one approach to completing the scope of work for the project. Your consultant's hourly rates may vary based on level of expertise and associated salaries for each labor category. We would recommend that you not compare fees for each subtask but compare the overall fee calculated for the project. Should our independent estimate be substantially different from your consultants (greater/lesser than 10%), we will need to ascertain additional details of the project.

Please feel free to contact me at (407) 539-7030, extension 13 at your convenience to discuss this independent estimate. We have enjoyed this opportunity to provide services to Monroe County.

Yours very truly.

Monty Gettys

Enclosures:

Independent Estimate (12 pages)

157 E. New England Avenue, Suite 340 Winter Park, Florida 32789 USA

Key West International Airport
EA & Permitting - RW 9/27 SAFETY AREAS
Independent Cost Estimate

	May 25, 2004																		
Test	Overstyden				بيرست	2.75			بياست		naget leg	1 tes				T	Project	Project	Project
_		- Henry	Cont	Name .	St 10	Hanne	2136	-	- 3169		574	-		9fer	\$80		tel Labor	Total	Orned
										House	Ceat	Hours	Cost	Hear	Cont	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.0	Tetal
	Parl A: Project Definition and Identificat	ion of Alter	matters.						1 0000000000		1070717111111111111111111111	4	ti i sasa sasa sasa s	The section of the section	Contracta	2 8-1 2-2-2-2-2-2-	BARTET (N. 14		1
A1	Endy Hellication	•	\$1,200	16	\$1,700	**	\$6,000	10	\$1,000	4	\$300		9520		\$400	160	\$10,780		
A2	EA Mininglips	•	\$1,200	16	31,760	24	\$3,000		\$800		5200		\$520		\$200		•	\$136	\$10,016
A3	Collection of Purpose & Hopel	•	\$1,300	40	54,400	46	\$5,900	4	5400	i	\$300		#520 #520	:	\$200	72 108	\$7.780 \$12.820	\$4.418	\$12,198
	Martification of Atlantaines	•	\$1,200	40	\$4,400	**	\$5,800	24	\$2,400	24	\$1,800	40	52,600	:	\$200	100	\$12,020 \$17,000	\$184	\$12,704
A6	Public Harmy Coordination Identity		\$1,390	16	\$1,780	16	\$2,000		\$800	16	\$1,200		\$520	:	\$400		\$7,000 \$7,000	\$316 \$5 80a	\$17.018
	Bubtotal Part A	40	90,000	130	\$14,000	100	£30,000		20,000	82	B3.000	72	14.000		\$1,400		\$00,000		\$13,794
	Part B: Preparation of EA									-				-	01,000		300,000	\$10,900	\$47,000
181	Affected Environment																		ŀ
9 1.1	Study Area Collectors, Sans May	1	\$150	4	\$440	2	\$260		5800		\$300	24	\$1,500		50	43			
8 1.2	Description of the Affected Environment	1	\$150	2	\$220	4	\$800	16	\$1,800	16	\$1,200	24	\$1,500	4	\$200	67	\$3.500	2042	\$4.442
	Subscool Toute &1	2	\$300		\$005		\$796	34	12,400	29	\$1,800	*	83,120	:	\$200	110	\$5,430	12 149	\$7,579
62	Environmental Consequences									-	•		94,129	•	\$200	111	\$4,930	£3,001	812,821
12.1	Weiterde.	1	\$190	2	\$220	160	\$20,000		\$8,000	G	\$0	4	\$260		\$50	l			t e
12.7	Biotic Communities	,	\$150	2	\$720	24	\$3,000		\$800	16	\$1,200		\$260 \$260	1	\$50 \$50	246	\$26.600	\$6 t24	\$34,804
12.7	Estantial Fath Habital Appearment		\$150	2	\$220	24	\$3,000		\$800	16	\$1,200		\$200 \$200		•	56 56	\$5.680	\$830	\$6.510
R2 4	Endangered & Treasured Species	1	\$150	,	\$220	24	\$3,000		\$800		8000		\$200	·	\$50 \$50	48	\$5.660	\$20	\$5,700
23	Water Quality	,	\$150	2	\$220	24	\$3,800	·	6800	16	\$1,200	:	\$200	;	850 850		\$5.000	546	\$5.106
12.6	Photphin		\$0	0.5	256		31,600		5400		\$0		\$260		250	56	\$5.660	\$623	\$6,800
32 7	Hazardoya Meteriota	•	\$0	2	\$220		\$1,000	•	5400		5000		\$200		•	18	\$1 765	\$13	\$1,778
24	Historic/Archinological Planources	•	\$0	2	\$220	40	35,000	24	\$2,400		\$800	•	\$200	,	\$50 \$50	27 79	\$2.530	\$547	\$3.077
	DOT Section 303(c) Passaurous	1	\$190	2	\$220	4	2000	4	5400	4	\$300	7	\$200				\$0.530	\$1 792	\$10,322
10	Name Improde	1	\$190		\$800	14	\$2,000	•	\$600		 	-	\$200	,	850 850	20 36	\$1,000	5 6	\$1,006
11	Compellity Land Use	1	\$190	4	5440		81,000	2	\$200		\$0	- 4	\$200	'	\$50 \$50	20	\$4.146	\$5	\$4.145
2 12	Sincle) Impacts	1	\$160	4	5440		\$1,000		Seco	·	\$600		\$200	:	850 850	30	\$2,100	£2 -	\$2 102
2 13	Informi Socioconomic Imperio	1	\$190	4	\$440		\$1,000	•	5800	i	\$300		\$200		250	20	\$2 900 \$3 900	5*	\$2 90)
2 14	Air Cussiny	1	\$180		\$860	24	\$3,600	•	5800	4	\$300		\$760		250	50	\$3 000 \$5 440	\$1	\$3 601
2 15	Constat Zone Managamero/Constat Barriers	•	\$0	8.5	\$55	2	\$250	4	5400		\$300		\$200	i	350	16	\$5 440 \$1 315	\$34 L7	\$5 474
2 16	Wild & Scenic Revers		\$0	05	\$50	2	8250		80	1	\$75		\$0	:	\$50	5	\$1.315 \$430	sc sc	\$1,322
2 17	Familiand	0	80	0.5	255	2	\$260		\$0	,	\$75		 10	i	\$50	5	\$430	50 50	\$430
? 1 4	Energy Supply & Hatural Resources	•	\$0	0.5	\$55	2	\$250	•	\$0	1	\$75	2	B130	,	\$50	,	\$430 \$360	30 31	\$430
2 10	Light Emissions	0	\$0	0.5	965	2	\$260	0	80	,	\$75	2	\$130	·	\$50	,	\$560		\$361
2.20	Solid Waste Impacts		80	0.5	355	2	\$250	0	50	1	\$75		30	1	\$50	5	\$430	31	\$561
21	Construction Impacts	0	\$0	0.5	\$55	2	\$250	0	50	2	\$150	a	50	;	\$50	6	\$505	· · ·	\$431
22	Cumulative Impacia/Other Considerations	•	\$150		\$860		\$1,000	2	\$200	2	\$150	,	\$130	,	150	24	\$2 560	\$22	\$527
	Subtetal Tank Bil	12	21,000		30,100	40	140.200	104	\$16,000	185	67,879		94,290	22	81,100	24 867	52 500 580,876	\$10 \$10,423	\$2.570 \$100,206

Carte:	Mar 25, 2011																		
Tagé	0	- Tr	Parisal Communication of the C	Project	Mensey #199		9139 9139	Page Pr	-	ji Pa	argettes 176						ojest Licher	Project Total	Project
		News	Cont	Negro		7000	Cont	News	Court	1	Cont	Mer	Coat	Person	Cara	House	Cost	- Bresness	Council Total
89	Dreft EA Report Proposation															i			•
93.1	Profesionary Orall EA	40	86,000	120	\$13,200	120	\$15,000	80	\$8.900		\$4,000	24	\$1,560	24	\$1,200		200,900	\$1,310	\$52.270
99.2	Draft EA Proposation	24	\$3,000	80	\$0,000	44	\$5.000	40	\$4,000	40	\$3.000	24	\$1,500	40	\$2 000	286	\$27,980	85.221	\$33.161
	Subtatel Tank 23	64	80,000	200	822,000	100	\$30,000	120	\$12,000	120	90,000	**	\$3,130	64	\$3,300	77%	570,830	90,830	\$86,690
	Politic Implement															1			
	Meling List	•	**	•	9000	16	\$2,600	•	\$0	24	\$1,800	•	\$0	16	\$800	"	\$5,480	\$22	\$5,482
1	Public Information Meeting	16	\$2,460	40	\$4,400	4	\$5,000	40	\$4,000	40	\$3.000	24	\$1,560	•	\$400	204	\$20,760	\$8 861	\$27,621
	Public Hearing	14	\$2,460	40	\$4,400	16	\$2,000	16	\$1.600	18	\$1,200	0	\$0	8	\$400	112	\$12,000	\$4.936	\$18 936
	Subtrated Teach B4	22	84,000		30,000	77	99,000	*	15,000	•	\$4,000	24	51,700	22	\$1,000	**	136,344	813,786	\$42,034
-	Draft SA Comment Analysis	_														1			
	Dreft EA Construe Anglysis	40	36.000	120	\$13,200	•	\$10,860	80	50.000	80	\$4.000	40	\$2 900	40	\$2,000	480	\$47.800	\$16	\$47.816
	Subtestal Teach IIS Pleas EA Report Proporation	-	80,040	120	\$13,300	•	\$10,000	•	\$4,400	•	\$4,600	*	\$2,000	**	12,000	-	\$47,000	614	\$47.816
	Production Final EA Proceedings		94.000	120	\$13,200		\$10,000	80											
	Pini SA Proposition	24	\$3,800	120	\$4,800	**	\$5,000	40	\$8,000	80	\$6,000	40	\$2 600	40	\$2,000	400	347,800	\$1,470	\$49.270
	Subtotal Feeb 86		\$0,000	200	\$22,000	120	\$15,000	124	\$4.000 \$12,000	40 120	\$3,000	24 84	\$1.560 \$4,160	40	\$2,000 \$4,000	200 700	\$27,060 \$76,700	\$1,419	\$29.379 \$78,840
	Subtotal Part B	214	232,100	679	873,700		\$100,000	-	151.400	625	\$3,000 \$30,375	200	\$18,890	242	\$12,100		2330.626	\$36,740	8776,273
	Part C: Environmental Permitting				******						em,219	244	\$10,00°		412,100	l	*****	120,740	8316713
c,	Onto Collection and Assessment															i .			
	Wellende Field Investigation and Jurisdictional Determination		-													ŀ			
C1.1		•	\$0	4	\$440	#0	\$10,000	80	\$8 000	0	\$0	0	\$ 0		\$400	172	\$18,840	\$6 075	524 915
	Welland Jurisdictional Region Blokic Community Assessments		\$150 \$150	2	\$220 \$226	24 16	\$3.000 \$2.000	24 15	52 400	0	\$0	6	\$520	B	\$400	67	\$8,600	\$85	\$6.775
	Essection Fight Makint Assessment	•	•	2	-	16	•		\$1 800	0	\$0	8	\$520		\$400	51	\$4,690	\$65	\$4.975
_	Protected Spinish Assessment		\$150 \$150	1	\$110 \$110	16	\$2,000 \$2,000	16 16	\$1.500	0	\$0	4	\$260	4	\$200	42	\$4.320	\$65	\$4,405
U 1.5	Subsotal Tank C1	4	100	10	\$1,100	162	\$19,800	152	\$1,500 \$19,700	0	\$0	4	\$260	4	\$200	42 374	\$4.320	\$77	\$4,39?
CZ		•		~	J 1,700		+	-94	210,240	٠	\$0 -	•	\$1,560	12	\$1,000	374	\$39,060	\$6,405	\$48.465
	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis		\$150	4	5440		\$1,000	24	12 400	24	\$1,800		\$520	٥	5 0	69	\$6,310	\$426	\$6 736
	Conceptual Design of RSA Attemptives	1	\$150	·	\$860	24	\$3,000	24	52 400	24	\$1,800	40	\$2 600	o o	\$0	121	\$10 830	\$423	\$11.253
	Probinitary Design of Preferred RSA Alternative	1	\$150	•	5440	40	\$5,000	40	\$4 000	24	\$1,800	40	\$2 600	4	\$200	153	\$14,190	\$423	\$14.613
C2 4	Engineering Support for Militation Design		\$0		80		5 0		\$ 0		\$0	-	50	-	\$0	0	\$0	\$423	\$420
	Subsocial Tauk C2	,	\$480	16	81,700	72	80,000		\$8,000	72	\$5,000	64	\$5,720	4	1200	343	631,330	\$1,004	\$33,024
CS	Orningo Ossigo																	·	
Ç3.1	Data Cellection and Review	, 1	\$150		\$440	4	\$900		\$800	4	\$300	0	\$0	c	\$0	21	\$2 190	\$2 367	\$4 557
C3.2	Dramage Calculators	1	\$150	2	\$220	24	\$3,000	21	\$2 400	24	\$1,800	8	\$520	0	s e	83	\$8 890	\$824	\$8.914
CJ 3	Drawage Maport	1	\$130	2	\$220	**	\$2,000	16	\$1 000	4	\$300	16	\$1 040	0	\$0	55	ES.310	\$620	\$6.130
Ç3 4	Oramage Design Plans	1	\$150	2	\$220	36	\$4,500	24	\$2 400	24	\$1.800	24	\$1.560	0	\$0	111	\$10,630	\$489	\$11 118
	Subtotal Tauk C3	4	9000	10	81,100	•	\$10,000	72	17,240		\$4,700	44	\$3,120	•	So	270	\$39,220	\$4,800	\$30,720

¥

Key West International Airport EA & Permitting - RW 9/27 SAFETY AREAS

_	May 25, 2004																		
Took	Description				et l'heney	30.5							ينابنان				reject	Project	Project
	<u></u>	Str.	Cont	- 100	2010 Com	100	- \$ess	lines	Const	jihr Henra	GPT Comi	- Pr	Çest Cont	<u> </u>	- 100		-	Total	بسب
								e geranja			energia de la con-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		House the desired state	Cont	, Marie		<u> </u>	Total
	Person Applications and Milipations Plans											•							ĺ
	Properties of Jaint Environmental Resource /																		
-	404 Permit Application	4	9400	24	\$2.640	24	\$3,000	40	\$4,000	80	\$6.000	16	\$1,040	16	\$800	204	\$18,000	\$714	\$18,794
	Develop Proteinary Mitgaton Plan	2	\$300	16	B1,780	24	\$3,000	24	\$2,400	24	\$1,800	24	\$1,500	•	\$0	114	\$10.020	\$436	\$11,276
41	Miligation Construction Plans	2	\$300	16	\$1,760	**	\$5,000	40	\$4,000	80	\$6,000	80	\$5,200	0	\$0	250	\$22,200	\$740	523.000
	Substate Teatr C4		\$1,200		80,100	**	311,000	194	\$10,000	184	812,000	120	\$7,860	16	\$200	EM.	881,100	\$1,000	183,000
	Subtoint Part C	19	\$2,000	62	\$10,136	***	149,000	416	341,000	312	833,400	200	\$18,200	62	\$2,000	1863	8147,776	\$14,007	6162,277
	Part D: Mostings and Coordination																		
D1	Project Team Mostings, Processialisms, and Con-																		
	Project Team Meetings, Propertystons, and															1			
	Convinción (12)	84	\$8,400	*	\$10,500	**	\$12,000	40	\$4,000	24	\$1,000	16	\$1,040	24	\$1,200	357	\$39,000	\$20.750	859,750
	Subtotal Tank D1	-	\$8,600	**	\$10,000	**	\$12,000	**	84,880	34	\$1,000	16	31,040	24	\$1,200	362	110,000	\$20,700	\$80,780
82	Resource Agency Mantings Propostations, and	-														ł			
	Resource Agency Massage (7)		\$6,000	54	\$4,160	54	\$7,000	16			8 aur				****	1	40.00		
	Solidarial Years (12	-	91.000		84.100		\$7,000	**	\$1.000	34	\$1,000	16	\$1.040	24	\$1,200	232	\$24,800	\$10.784	\$36,944
	Subtotal Part D		914,488	162	\$16,720	16	\$10,000	-	61,000	34 40	\$1,000	10	\$1.040	24	\$1,300	222	\$34,860	\$10,764	135.004
	Parl E: Project Management	-	414,000	144	\$10,720	188	210,000	•	68,600	4	\$3,000	17	\$2,000	44	82,400	204	\$43,400	\$31.834	105,234
81	Project Management																		
	Project Management																		
		60	\$0,000	300	\$22,000	40	\$5,000	•	\$0	0	20	•	\$0	40	\$2,000	340	\$30,000	\$3 790	\$41.790
	_		**		20		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	۰	\$0	\$5	\$5
	Subtracket Target (E1	•	10,000	300	[22,000	*	\$5,000	•	*	•	•	•	34	44	\$2,000	346	\$30,000	\$3,7 0 \$	\$41,795
Ð	Project Management Plea and Castly Assessed	n Pipa																	
	Project Management/CA Plan	24	\$3,600		\$4,400	24	\$3,800		\$0		\$0		**		5000	104	\$11,600	***	
	•		20	-	80		30	•	\$0	•	90 90	•	\$0	16		104		\$257	\$12.057
	Section Fact 27	24	13.000		14.49	24	13.000		•	_	\$0	_	50		50		\$0	50	\$0
F2	Program Reporting	_		_		-		•	-	•	-	•	**	**	2000	104	811,000	\$967	\$12,867
	Progress Reports	40	26,000	120	\$13,200	_		_									_		
	Submit Task E)	_		199		•	30	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	20	40	\$2,000	200	\$21,200	\$568	\$21,768
	Subtotal Part E	124	210,000	***	\$13,300 \$30,600	•	50 55,600	•		•	\$0	. :	54		\$2,000	200	521,200	\$464	821,766
							34,437					-	<u> </u>		\$4,889	844	\$71,000	\$4.619	878,819
	Project Subtotal (Bage EA Fee)	483	\$73,980	1482	\$154,229	1000	5391,800	1116	\$111,860	937	\$79,275	674	843,010	466	\$23,300	8004	\$679,184	\$96,360	\$770,523
	Port F: Optional Topics																		
F1	Formal Baction 198 Countingtion for Historical I	Recourse Imp	eet																
	Correlatel 106 Coordination/ Propers MCA	1	\$160	40	\$4,400	40	\$5.000	24	52,400	0	\$0	4	\$260	4	\$200	113	\$12.410	\$4 072	\$16,482
	Substitut Teak F1	1	6100	4	84,488	-	\$5,000	24	52,460		90	4	5300	4	\$200	113	\$12,416	84,872	\$10,462
FZ	Biological Ameroment and Formal Section 7 Co	-																	
21	Protected Spacies Surveys	2	\$300	24	\$2,640	0	\$0	480	\$49,000	0	\$0	•	50	40	\$2,000	546	\$52.040	\$20 460	\$73,400
22	Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected Searchs		\$150	24	\$2,040	۰		40	\$4.000		80			-			\$6.510	· I	
	Development of Conservation Measures		\$150	24	\$2,840			**	\$4,000	-	\$60 BM	•	\$520	24	\$1,200	97 97		\$240	\$9.750
	Bothgical Assessment Countyment		\$190 £190	 u		:	**	-		•	-	•	\$520	24	\$1,200		\$8 510	\$240	\$8,750
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•-	\$2,540	•	\$0	-	\$4.000	•	\$0	•	\$520	•	\$400	101	\$9,710	\$240	\$0,950
23	Fermal Bodion 7 Committees		\$1,200	40	\$4,400	•	\$0	40	\$4.000	٥	\$0	•	\$0	16	\$800	104	\$10 400	\$4.510	\$16.010
	Subjected Touch F2	13	\$1,000	136	. 814,000	•		440	900,000	•	**	M	\$1,500	117	54.000	946	990,079	\$27,000	8117,700
	Optional Yacks Subtated (Part F)	14	2.19	176	\$10,500		\$6,499		199,489	•	*		\$1,630	116	\$5,500	1405	\$192,460	231,761	\$124,241
	URS Project Total	997	\$75,000	1976	\$173,000	1046	\$200,000	1000	\$100,000	637	\$79,275	762	\$45.63 0	-	529,100	7794	\$700.436	\$130,120	\$010,704

inne .

	Description		-				Hetel		r Marin	-		Qybasi	o Travel	-	Lane .			TRAVEL.
_		Volt Cont	Compt	- Vind Cool	, 5	Trat Ovel	- 999	New Comp.		Feb Cost	\$41	1948 Coal	245	Vest Cost	P10	Velt Cost		TOTAL
] 			Cest	. ,	Coor		Cares	Under	Cost	Units 1	Cent		Cest	COST
	Part A: Project Definition and Identific	elion of Alter	=elives					474747 - 4 77 - 34 24 3 4	THE WARREST TO STREET	(A), ADAL AND CONTRACTORS	157575757444	*************	kanadasa adalah singk	PrincipaRe8087Rexe#88	TevêtoT+984e484e4	Laction Hills	JA 8 14886	
A1	Early Nothcolon	•	\$0	•	\$0		\$0		30		\$0	_		_				
A2	EA Introduction		50		50	•	\$0		•		50 50		\$0	0	\$0		50	\$0
A3	Defection of Purpose & Head		\$0		50	•	\$0		- m		\$0 \$0		\$0	0	\$0	0	50	, \$ 0
M	Marchanton of Alapsobers		\$0		\$0		50			٠	50	-	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	
A6	Public Higgs toy Countilisation Meeting	•	\$2,400	2	\$100	•	\$720	12	\$400	12	\$144	-	\$0	•	\$0	e	30	₽ 20
	Selbiotal Part A	•	82,400	2	3100	•	\$720	12	9000	12	\$144 \$144	•	\$0 \$0	0	\$0	0	10	\$4.014
	Part 8: Preparation of Environmental A	Moreoment						_		16	3144	•	34	•	50	•	\$0	84,814
81	Affected Environment																	
0 1 1	Stody Area Defeation, Sees Map	1	\$400	2	\$180	1	\$120		\$100	2	\$24		\$0		\$a	_		
01.2	Description of the Affected Environment	2	\$800	2	\$156	2	\$240		\$200		548	٥	\$0 \$0	0	*-	0	\$0	\$794
	Softwar Tank B1	3	\$1,200	4	\$300	•	1300		£300		\$72	•	30 S0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$1,430
	Environmental Consequences								••••	•	0/4	•	34	•	\$4	•	**	52,232
1 20	Wellende	4	\$1,000	10	\$750	20	\$2.400	20	\$1.000	20	\$240	a	\$6	a	se	0		
12.2	Biolic Communities	1	\$400	2	\$150	1	\$120	2	\$100	2	\$24	0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$5,990
4.1	Essential Figh Habital Assessment	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	80		\$0	^	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	0	50	\$794
2.4	Endangered & Threstened Species	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0		50 50		50	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
12.8	Water Chaptry	t	\$400	2	\$150	1	\$120	2	\$100	2	\$24	٥	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0
12.0	Floodylaine	0	\$0		50	0	\$0	0	\$0	, D	50	0	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	0		\$794
12.7	Hazanious Materials	1	\$400	1	\$75	0	\$0	1	\$80	•	\$12	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0	\$0
12	Hetoric/Archaeological Resources	2	\$800	2	\$150	4	\$480	4	\$200		S48	0	SO SO	0	\$0 \$0	-	\$0	\$537
	DOY Section 303(c) Resources	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0		\$0	6	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0	\$1,678
2.10	Note	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	n	\$0	a	\$0 \$0	D	\$0 \$0	0	\$0	\$0
2.61	Competible Land Use	O	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	۵	50	0	\$0	0	\$0	50
2.12	Social impacts	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0		30	0	50 50		50 50	0	\$0	\$0
7 53	Induced Socioeconomic Impacts	0	\$0	0	80	0	50	0	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0
2 14	Air Quality	0	\$0	0	\$0	٥	\$0	0	\$0		\$0	0	50		\$0 \$0	0		5 ú
7 15	Constat Zone Management/Coestal Barners	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	a	\$0		50	•	\$0	n	\$0 \$0	\$0
16 1	Wild & Scenic Regry	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	ò	\$0		\$0		\$0	0	sc	\$0
17	Familiand	0	\$0	0	50	۰	\$0	0	50	0	\$a		50	0	\$0	0	50 50	\$0
10 (Energy Supply & Hatural Resources	0	\$0	0	80	•	\$0	0	\$0		\$0	0	So So	0	\$0 \$0	0	so s	\$0
19 1	Light Emissions	•	50	0	50	•	80	٥	\$0		\$0	0	50 50	0	\$0 \$0	0	30 30	\$0
20	Sold Waste Impacts	0	\$0	0	\$4		80	0	50		\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0 \$0	0	50	\$0
21 (Construction Impacts	0	50	0	50	0	80	0	\$0		\$0	0	so so	•	30 30	n	se se	\$0 ta
22 (Comulative Impacts/Other Considerations	0	\$0	8	\$0	•	\$0	0	50		\$40		to.		\$0	۸	\$0 \$0	50
	Substitute Facilities	•	\$3,000	17	61,275	34	\$3,120	•	11400	_	1244	•		•	\$40	U	50	30 80 701

Tool					ini Car	IN4	أبوو	Delty	Monty		riting	1 000	de Travel		e Leagn	T		·
	Desertation	Unit Cod	9400	Unit Cook	\$75	Vell Cost	9190	Unit Cost	330	Unit Com	\$12	Unit Cost	\$44	Unit Cost	\$15	Unit Cost		TRAVEL TOTAL
			Cent	Units	Cont		Cost	Units	Cest	- Page	Cont						Cost	COST
	Dreft EA Report Propagation		************	****************	*:*******	*****************	*\$*\$*\$*\$*\$*\$*\$	19878987798971759898	**********									
3.1	ProBining Draft EA		\$0		50	•	90	_		_								
1.2	Draft EA Proporation		\$0		80	i	40	^	\$0		\$0	0	80	D	\$0	Q	\$0	\$0
	Subsect Track 83						-	•	80		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
и	Public Involvement		-	-	•	•	-	•	\$0	•	10	•	90	•	50	•	\$0	
4.1	Malling List	e	\$0		*		50		50	_								
2	Public Information Marking	•	\$2,400	2	\$100		\$720	12	5000	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$0
4.3	Public Hearing		\$2,400	2	\$180	·	5720	12	\$400	12	\$144	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$4.014
	Bulandal Took &4	12	94,000	4	\$300	17	\$1,440	»	31,306	12	\$144		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$4.014
	Orall EA Continuent Analysis						V.,	•	31,000	17	1200	•	94	•	**	•	54	84,834
	Draft EA Commont Analysis	•	Ş0		te .		\$0		50									
	Substant Track (SE	•	\$0						-		\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
16	Fittel EA Report Propuration				•	-		•	-	•	54	•	60	•	30	•	80	
i.1	Profesionary Final EA Properation	•	\$0	0	\$0		\$0		50	_								
2	Final EA Proposition	9	50		20	6	\$0	Ť	<u></u>	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	0	50	\$0
	Substant Face die	•			**		**	•	*		50		50	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal Part B	34	\$9,000	28	\$1,676	41	14,939		\$2.00m		50	•	10	•	\$4	•	\$4	te
	Part C: Environmental Permitting				****	•		_	\$2,000	47	8704	•	90	•	\$0	•	50	\$20,063
1	Date Collection and Assessment																	
	Wellerdo Field Investigation and Jurisdictional Determination																	
	Wolland Juristictional Report	•	\$1,800	10	\$760	20	\$2,400	20	\$1,000	20	\$240	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	\$5.990
	State Community Assessments		80	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	5 0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Escential Fish Hathlist Assessment	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	50	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Profession Species Assessment		\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	10	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	\$0
~	Subsect Took C1		\$0	•	\$0	•	50	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	So
	RSA Design Services	•	\$1,000	14	\$7 96	20	\$2,000	20	\$1,000	20	8040	•	84	•	\$0	•	50	\$5,900
	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis					_												
	Conceptual Design of RSA Attentiones		\$0	•	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	0	\$0	0	- \$ 0	\$0
	Preliminary Design of Preferred RSA Alternative		\$0 \$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	Đ	\$0	D	\$0	0	\$0	G G	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Engineering Support for Magazion Cleaton			-	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	ð	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
•	Sidemani Tana C2	•	\$0 80	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	So	0	\$0	\$0
	Drahnaga Daaiga	•	-	•	80	•	\$4	•	50	•	\$4	•	\$8	•	H	•	\$0	59
	Data Collection and Review		\$600		***	_												
	Dramage Calculations	•	No.	3	\$190 30	2	\$240	4	\$200	4	£ 48	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$1,436
	Organiza Resport		90	٠		•	\$0	o.	80	0	\$0	0	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Drainage Guelge Plant	•		•	**		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	٥	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
•	Second Test Co	-	\$0		\$0	•	80	0	\$0	•	80	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
		=	-	*,	8100	2	1244	4	\$300	4	\$40	•	30	•	90	•		81,438

Test	l Broaden I	Vest Court	1000 E	Veit Cost	175 175		اوزوا		Name		Territory.	Orten	do Tamaji	Orton	dy Lamph			TRANS.
			Canal	1	-	Unit Cost		THE CO	8000	Unit Cont		Work Court	1 200	Unit Coul	910	tint Cost		101AL
													Cupt		1 Cont		Court	COST
×	Permit Applications and Utilipations Plates Properties of July Embourants Records /																	
4.1	404 Person Application	•	10				\$0		30			_		_	_			1
42	Dovalop Predminary Militarium Piem	•	90		**		50		50 50	•	\$0 \$0		\$0	•	\$0	0	50	*
4.3	Miligation Construction Plans	۰	\$0		80				20		90 \$n	•	\$0		\$0	•	50	. \$0
	Subtrant Took Cd	•	66	•	20		*		**		30 \$8		\$0		50	0	\$0	, *o
	Subtotal Part C	•	\$2,400	12	200	22	82,040	M	\$1,000	*	1200	•	30		P4	•	10	*
	Port D: Montings and Coordination								4.,225	-	2200	•	-	•		•	\$4	17,434
94	Project Team Healings, Presentations, and Co.																	
	Project Team Meetings	*	\$12,000	49	\$750		40.000											
	Relitated Track D1		\$12,000	10	\$750 \$750	30 36	\$3,600	30	\$1,800	30	\$360	•	\$270	•	\$60	•	\$0	\$18,540
			\$12,000		***		\$3,000	30	\$1,000	**	\$366	•	\$270	•	500	•	\$4	\$10,540
D2	,	Coordination																
	Resource Agency Maulings	2 1	\$8,400	7	\$525	9	\$0	21	\$1.080	7	\$84	0	\$0	0	60	0	\$0	\$10,050
	Submini Took DJ	21	30,466	7	ţejs	•	\$0	21	\$1,000	7	844	•	\$4		20		50	\$19,000
	Subtotal Part D	84	\$20,400	17	\$1,275	20	\$3,600	B1	\$3,000	37	\$444	•	\$274		\$40	•	50	\$22,000
	Part E: Project Management																	
4	Project Management																	1
	Project Management	•	12,400	0	\$0	0	\$0	6	\$300		\$72	2	500	2	R20	0	50	\$2,002
			\$0		\$8		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$6	•	\$0	\$0
	British Tout \$1	•	\$2,400	•	20	•	po	•	\$300	•	\$72	2	500	2	\$20	•	50	12,813
22	Project Management Plan and Quality Assurant	n Pige																1
	Project Management CA Plan		50		\$0		50											i
	-	•	\$0	•	20	•	a. So	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
	Account Face EX	•	*		-		*		\$0		\$0		20		\$0		5 0	50
13	Program Reporting	-	~	-	-	•	-	•	\$0	•	50	•	**	•	**	•	50	»
	Program Reports		20		\$0		\$0	_										1
	Substitut Took 27		*				**	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	C	\$0	\$6
	Subtotal Part E	•	12,400	·			**	:	\$0 1300	•	\$0	•	**	•	**	•	50	*
	Desired Desired Desired	-		•		•		•	1300	•	272	2	\$00	,	220	•	50	\$2,862
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	93	\$37,200	66	\$4,200	**	\$11,880	152	\$7,000	126	\$1,656		\$360		\$80	0	\$0	\$62,976
	Part F: Optional Tasks																	1
	Formal Section 196 Coordination for Historical F																	İ
	Conduct 106 Coordination/ Prepare MQA	•	\$2.400	3	S225	•	\$0	•	\$300	•	\$72	D	\$0	0	\$0	c	\$0	\$2,997
_	Bulletel Task Pf	•	\$2,400	,	\$225	•	**	•	\$300	•	\$72	•	\$0	•	86	•	50	\$2,007
	Biological Assessment and Formal Section 7 Co Protected Section Surveys		****		4													
	Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected	12	\$4,000	60	\$4,500	60	\$7.200	60	\$3,000	gn .	\$720	0	\$ 0	a	\$0	r	\$0	\$20,220
	Species	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	0	\$0	0	50	0	š a	\$0
	Development of Conservation Measures	0	80	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	Ð	\$0	0	\$0	t.	\$0	\$0
	Belogical Assessment Development	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$6	0	\$0	ø	\$0	0	\$0	٥	sn	0	so .	\$0
5	Formal Section 7 Consultation	10	\$4,000	10	\$750	0	\$4	10	\$500	10	\$120	٥	\$0	0	50	t.	50	\$5,370
	Submitt Test F2	22	\$0,000	70	\$5,290	•	\$7,000	70	63,000	70	\$246	•	ja	•	\$1	•	, .	125,000
	Optional Toute Subtated (Part ?)	26	\$11,300	73	\$6,476		\$7,300	76	\$3,000	74	8012		20	•			I	624,667
	Project Total	121	\$40,400	129	\$0,676	100	\$19,000	220	\$11,400	282	\$2,50a	-	5300	•	200	-	- 1	044,007

_	W-1.20																	
			idel .	046	er Belti	iii ii		0.4	11017			1	_			Ci		Printing.
-	Description			1000		989	- 11.0	Mail Cost	94.76			Mrk Court	11.00	The Cont	200.00	That Cost		7084
		60000 0000	010000000000000000000000000000000000000		Cont Children Children	600000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	talas tala talah		_								
	Part A: Project Definition and Identifica	Man of Albert			*************		2*2*2*(*2*2*2*2*2*	*1*1*141;1+0*141;40*15*1	(#G-6-6) CP676F6F6F6F	Po Po Po Po Po Pe Ad Po Pe A:	etetergraphy (repers)	re sommen		2#8000118#848#8401±48	15:1105015:00101	1846 184 918 184 184 185 98		
AT	Early Hadileston	240	\$10			•	_	_										
	EA Introduction	100	=		End.		-	•	\$46		\$0	•	80	2	\$60	•	\$0	\$114
A3	Oxfolian of Pagage & Hand	100	\$12		234	·	-	-	\$0	39	B14	*	82#	2	980	•	80	\$195
	Manifestion of Atlantations		200		\$17	•	-		\$21	24	\$14	*	126	•	675	•	20	\$184
	Publishings Coordination Managery	-	\$16	_	127	:		112	104		\$14	*	124	,	\$75		**	5306
	Subtotal Part A		2122	-	-	:	_		10	0	50	•	20	2	200	•	20	563
	Part B: Proparation of Environmental A		****		-	•		-	§166	•	842	24	394	12	1300	•	-	\$795
B1	All mind Embranes																	
	State Area Dallatina, Base Man	*	22		50			_										
	Description of the Affective Environment	500	540	12		Ľ	_	•••	134	•	80	•	30	2	\$60	,	\$10	\$160
	ال غيد؟ تطمعنى ا		342				-	24 74	\$16	•	10	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	90	\$71
-	Contractant Compagnature		_	**	•	-	-	74	684	•	99	•	**	3	PRO	,	Q10	\$171
	Wellinsto	-	\$16		•		21			_								
	Sint: Communities	100				:	•	25	\$18	•	90	٠	20	2	\$50	•	10	386
	Establish Plata Healthait Agencyment		-		-	•		•	34	0	90	•	**	•	20	•	\$0	\$12
	Entirement & Threatened Species	-	=	·	~	•	**		*	۰	\$0	٥	80	•	\$0	•	20	\$12
	Water County	***	536			:	**	16	54	•	\$65	•	80	2	850	•	80	•
	Frenking	-	A1		-	:	-	=	\$10	•	\$0	•	50	,	\$10	0	\$0	589
	Pleasetteni Mutaripis		92		-	:	-	•	#	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	. sa
	Hadankoffersteenheiste Pennessen	-	516		**	•	**		\$4	•	\$0	•	80	0	80	0	50	\$4
	COT Section 203(c) Research	-	\$1		-	•	-	**	*	•	\$0	9	\$0	2	100	0	80	\$74
	(Inite)	-	81	•		•	-	2	받	•	\$0	٠	**	•	\$0	•	90	t2
	Compatible Land Use	~	21	•		•	-	•	\$4	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	80	0	20	85
	Social Insurance	•	\$1		-	•	***	2	22	•	90	•	80	٥	20	•	90	₽2
	Indicated Recommence insurance	~	81 \$1			•	_	•	20	۰	-	•	90	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$1
	At Caste	-	-			•	,	•	**	•	90	•	80	•	30	•	\$0	\$1
	Coordal Zone Managament/Country Barriery	•	=				91	•		•	\$0	•	\$0	1	\$25	•	90	\$34
	WM & Burts River	-	Ξ			:	•"	•		•	\$0	0	\$0	•	20	•	*	F
	Femiliand		20			:	-			•	\$0	•	\$0	•	10	۰	\$0	**
	Erwyy Supply & Halural Resources	-	81		-		-	•	\$0	•	\$0		\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	50
	Upt Learning	•	£1		-	•	_	•	70	•	90		\$0	0	\$0	0	30	\$1
	Bold Waste Impacts	•	81	·			-	•	₩	-	30	•	20	0	\$0	•	30	\$1
	Construction trappeds	*	20			:	~	•	**	•	77	•	90	•	90	•	\$70	B1
	Completes Installations Constitutions		-			-	-		,		90	•	80	0	\$0	•	\$0	52
_	Subsect Tree St		***	-	-	-	_	•	P		**	•	20	•	\$0	•	\$0	*
		*****	-	-	-	WE.	22	794	CPL .	•			•	•	Admi.		- 1	****

200	May 25, Street																	
1			t her		- Aut1		11,47	Q.	1947			1			ı İli			
_	Provide			Work Count		P-8 One	- 11	The Cont	16.71	That Gard	(0.00	Mak Cont	94.00	Unit Cost	194	Unit Cost	15.00	PRODUCTION
	Charles S.A. Record Processing						One	1865 250 250 25	Cont		Cost	100	Cont		Qual.			COST
81	Draft EA Report Properation	-				.,	*****************	ranamanana ukongaga	1-1-5-1-1-1-1 (*1-1-1-1	:+0+9+9+8+8+8+;+0+;+0+;+0	1080808-201-204-204	:#####################################	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	isanatinin nira n	i id i deletero	iatorika erakistatekti	ereigielekriget	
83.1	Proliferony Creat EA	6400	9612	128	\$77		310	612	5304				4		_			
63.2	Draft EA Proposition	10000	\$1,520	360	\$220	190	548	1530	\$1,140	100	\$12	4	304	4	\$100	26	\$125	\$1,310
	Substain! Tagh (5)	25400	\$1,500	-	2300	294	164	2000	21,004		\$85	190	E180	75	\$1,875	25	\$125	\$5,221
84	Public brokement				•				41,000	264	8127	384	2251	79	\$1,976		\$200	14.530
94.1	Making List	*	22	٠	20		20		80	۰		_						
84.2	Public Information Manager	160	\$12	50	\$30		80	•	PG		\$0	•	80	0	80	0	\$0	122
943	Public Hearing	150	\$12	50	\$30		90		50 50	0	\$0	0	80	•	\$0	o	20	\$42
	Substant Teat &4	305	\$36	100	200				*		\$0	0	50	٥	80	۰	80	\$42
14	Brott EA Comment Analysis					-	-	•	-	•	\$0	•	54	•	#	•	14	\$84
	Draff EA Comment Anglysis	200	\$10	•	30		80		\$0		\$0	_		_				
	Subsected Track 86	200	\$10	•	**	•	\$1			•	30	0	80	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$10
86	Final EA Report Proporation							-	-	•	***	•		•		•		\$14
96.1	Protestary Final EA Proposation	9000	\$720	144	500	144	\$36	676	8432	84	\$32							
91. 2	Find EA Propagation	8660	3000	136	\$82	136	E34	544	5408	60	230	•	\$64	•	\$100	0	\$0	\$1 470
	Subtatal Task Bil	17900	\$1,400	200	\$100	240	570	1130	5040	134	\$570 \$62	80 124	\$60	•	\$100	5	\$25	\$1,419
	Political Part B	40006	83,016	100	2546	574	\$143	2224	12.494	374	\$100	370	\$134		(300	6 87	625	12,000
	Part C: Environmental Permitting										•	3/0	\$376	-	\$2,460	S7	\$305	\$10,000
C1	Data Collection and Assessment																	Ī
C1.1	Welfrein Feid impeligation and Jurisdacional Delevationism	200	\$16	20	\$12		\$ 1	_										ł
Ç12	Wellers Jurisdanismus Region	200	316	20	\$12 \$12		\$1	5	#	2	\$1	2	\$2	1	\$25	1	\$5	\$66
	State Community Assessments	200	\$10	20	\$12		81	•	\$4	2	\$1	2	R	t	\$25		\$5	\$66
	Committee Fight Habitat Assessment	200	\$10	20	\$12	5	\$1	s -	\$4	2	\$1	2	\$2	1	\$25	1	\$5	\$66
C1.5	Protected Species Appropriate	160	## ***	20	\$12		\$1	5	\$4	2	\$1	2	\$2	1	825	•	25	\$66
	Subbattal Tauth C1	100	\$72	-	500		31 36	5 26	84	2	\$1	2	23	•	\$25	1	\$5	\$54
œ	RSA Design Services		**-				-		\$100	10	발	10	310		8125	•	\$25	8322
CZ.1	Expressing Support for Alternations Analysis	80	26	24	\$14		\$2		\$6	_								
C2.2	Conceptual Design of RSA Alternatives	24	#2	24	\$14	·	<u>.</u>		30 54	0	\$0 \$0	0	\$0	1	\$25	1	\$5	\$57
223	Professory Design of Professor RSA Alternative	24	5 2	24	\$14	i	52		*			0	30	1	\$25	,	\$5	\$54
24	Engineering Support for Wingston Design	24	\$2	24	\$14		 12		26	0	50 50	Ð	\$0	1	\$25	1	\$5	\$54
	Substatel Task C2	192	\$11	96	\$50	32	*	307	124	•	SO SO	đ •	50	•	\$25	1	\$5	\$54
C3 .	Drainage Oselign						•-		•••	•	24	•	10	•	3100	4	\$20	1220
31	Date Celection and Review	500	\$40	1200	\$720	40	\$10	20	\$15		\$0	0	80	,	\$80		I	
32	Drainage Calculations	500	\$40	1200	\$720	40	B10	20	\$15		SO.		50				\$5	\$840
33	Dramage Report	200	\$10	1200	\$720	20	\$6	20	215		\$0		30 30	1	\$25		\$5	5815
3.4	Drainage Cleage Plans	80	\$4		80	20	\$6	20	\$15		en en		50 50	-	\$50 \$50		\$ 5	\$811
	Subtotal Fook C3	1269	2100	2000	82.100	120	130	-	200	-		•	**	•	\$50 \$176	1	\$5 \$30	\$79

PRODUCTION - 3/3

Date:	Mar 38, 3804																	
-			P Bull		der Belt		11117		- 11m17	1 6		1		0		τ	CD4	PRODUCTION
ı	-	- 10 Court	1 20	***		10 to 0 to 0	10.00	Unit Cont	19.75	W-R Court	94.0	Ved Cod	81.69	U-B Out	436.00	Onli Goot	35.00	10174
115,115		100000000					Cont		```````		Cost	***	Cost		Chel	144	Cres	0001
C+	And distances are national sections.						.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				and the second	Michigan de de la constante de	t to the second		100.00		+380 P0481 - 440	
641	Proposition of July Embronactal Resource : 484 Passis Application	886																Į.
1	Davide Probability Magazine Pro-	200	864	200	\$120	40	\$10		900	0	80	•	30	4	\$100	10	360	\$404
	Militarian Construction Plans	Δ.	\$16		\$30	40	\$10		\$60	٥	\$0		\$0	1	\$25	1	\$6	\$140
	Subtract Track Cd	•	20	•	-		\$20	80	\$40	•	\$0	•	\$0	1	\$25	•	28	\$110
ı	Subtotal Part C	***	960	300	\$100	100	840	340	\$100	•	**	•	**	•	\$150	12	300	
i	Port D: Mostings and Coordination		9363		\$2,490	397	804	277	8303	10	14	16	\$10	23	\$600	25	\$125	11/47
1																		i
	Project Team Martings, Proceedables, and Co.																	
i	Project Yearn Manage	1000	\$120	900	\$300	300	\$300	50	810	10	\$5	10	\$10	•	\$125	•	\$6	\$775
i	Substate Fault (91	1000	\$130	***	8300	200	8800		810	16	66	**	100	•	8126	1	24	\$776
01	Reserve Agency Mealings Proportions, and	Commission																""
ı	National Agency Manage		\$40	80	\$30	96	\$13		236	5	80	_						1
į	Bulantel Took CO	***	540	-	630	-	813	_	E36		41		86		\$125	1	\$5	\$254
ĺ	Substotel Part D	2000	\$100	-	(300	**	8213		140	•	**	18	\$4		F120	1	86	\$256
i	Part E: Project Management				-			•••		**		16	616	•	R200	2	\$10	\$1,003
E 1	Project Management																	
i	Project Management	1660	500	80	534	•	315		9	10	24							ŀ
i			80		\$0		10	~		**	an to	10	\$10	15	\$375	,	25	\$534
ĺ	Subsect Took E1	***	100	•	836		916			_	30		\$0		30	1	25	\$5
	Project Management Plan and Quality Assurance						*	~	-	~	**	19	810	tš	5376	3	\$10	\$4500
_		-																Ī
i	Project Managerape/CA Plan	460	\$32	•	\$0	0	20	0	\$0		\$0		\$0	1	\$25		\$5	367
l			20		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		50	30
	Subtanai Tanja (2)	400	***	•	•	•	**	•		•	#4	•	*	•	125	1	44	NR
	Program Reporting																	•
	Propose Norman	160	**	100	900	140	\$25	100	\$75	100	\$50	100	\$100	10	\$250		\$0	2540
	Subtated Traph (2)	100	**	140	900	140	\$36	140	\$79	100	900	100	\$100	**	6200	•	10	2000
	Subtotal Fart E	1000	\$130	160	200	100	540	116	863	716		116	2110	*	1000	,	516	\$1,100
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	63627	\$4,202	2015	53,400	1317	8470	4117	\$3,000									
	Part F: Optional Tanks							4117	94,040	807	\$200	997	\$887	166	\$4,200	67	3436	\$16,841
PI	Formal Bootles 106 Coordination for (Superbud)	-	_															
	Contract 106 Coordination/ Property MQA	200	544	-	5300		96			_	_							
	Belittetel Touth Pt	-		880	2300				-	•	30 20	٠	\$0	2	\$50	•	\$25	\$415
PZ	Biological Assessment and Particl Section 7 Co				•	-	-	•	-	•	-	•	**	2	580	٠	836	3415
	Protected Species Supays	1000	200	160	PM	20	26	20	\$15	26	£10					_		!
P2.2	Assessment of Patartial Impacts to Protected Specials		200		***	20	_					20	\$20	1	\$25	•	\$25	\$240
	Development of Commonstee Management		 100		=	-		30	\$15	20	\$10	\$0	\$20	1	\$25	5	\$25	\$340
	Collegical Association Considerated	***			_	-	¥0	20	\$15	20	\$10	30	\$20	1	225	5	\$25	\$340
	Formal Section 7 Computation					20	-	-	\$15	20	\$10	30	\$30	1	\$26	5	\$26	\$240
'	Solitori Park FT		P480		tees			20	615	20	210	20	\$30		\$26	5	\$25	\$240
	Optional Yorks Subtotal (Part P)		3400	<u> </u>		100		***	\$76	105	900	100	\$100		1126	25	\$120	91,000
	•					_	626	787	876	160	500	198	8100	7	9176	20	P480	\$1,815
	Project Total	00027	64,722	0015	\$4,000	_1017	. 1004	4217	\$3,136	997	5340	907	\$807	175	84.375	117		\$18,400

•	J :		Opris		PION BOARDS	CONTRACT	ALC: CALL IN	DATE:	TA SLAFON	-	TABLE	1 2 2 2					_	
Test	Description	Hell Court	71.00	Part Coat		Work Cons	\$1,000	tint Cost	100	Mark Court	91.17		M*= M*) M	ADVERTINE Vinit Coast	(740			OTHER
			Cost		Cres		l Out					Walte		V-de	Cost	Unit Cost	<u> </u>	TOTAL COST
	Perl A: Project Definition and Identifica	illen of Albert	energenen en		242-2-2-2-2-2-2-2	1+2+2+2+2+2+2+2+2	**********	and the second		ACTE CECESTREE (1941)								
	Early Hotilication			6	50		80	_										
A2	EA Introduction	•	\$6		50		\$0		\$ 0	●0	\$22	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$27
AJ	Delimition of Purpose & Name		20	•	\$0		\$0 \$0	9	\$0	0	\$0	•	50	o	\$0	0	\$4.300	\$4,300
M	Martification of Attornations		80	•	\$0		\$0 \$0		50	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
AG	Public Magney Countries to Magney	250	\$375	20	\$400	·	\$1,000	•	50	•	50	•	132	•	30	1	\$0	\$32
	Subjected Part A	200	2376	26	5466	·	\$1,000	-	\$0	60	\$22	•	\$0	•	\$0	1	\$0	\$1,797
	Part B: Properation of Environmental A					•	¥1,0 000	•	20	129	\$44	•	\$92	•	\$0	2	\$4,200	\$6,151
81	Affected Environment																	
D 1.1	Study Area Definition, Sees Map		50		50		\$0	_										
91.2	Description of the Affected Environment		\$0		\$0	•	\$0 \$0		\$0	0	\$0	12	548	0	\$0	0	\$0	· \$48
	Subdated Took & 1	•		·	## ##		50	1	\$600	0	\$0	10	\$40	۰	\$0	0	\$0	\$640
84	Environmental Compaquences			•	••	•	-	1	\$000	•	\$0	22	504	•	\$0	•	54	8400
12.1	Wetlands		\$0	۵	\$0	•	\$0											
12.2	Motic Communities	0	40	0	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	12	\$40	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$48
12.3	Essential Fish Habitet Assessment	0	\$0	4	\$0	•	£0		\$0	۰	\$0	•	\$24	0	\$0	•	\$0	\$24
N2 4	Endangered & Threatened Species		50	•	\$0	·	\$0	-	\$0	0	\$0	2	54	0	\$0	0	\$0	Sa
	Water Quality		50		\$0		50	-	\$0	0	\$0	5	\$20	•	\$0	0	\$0	120
2.6	Ploodplaine	0	\$0		S 0		\$0	,	\$0	0	\$0	10	\$40	0	80	0	\$10	\$40
12.7	Hitzardous Meterials	0	50		\$0 \$0		\$0		\$0	0	\$0	2	50	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$9
12.0	Historic/Archaeological Resources	•	\$0		\$0		50 50	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	14	0	\$0	0	\$ 0	\$4
12.6	DOT Section 303(c) Resources	0	\$0		50	•	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	10	\$40	٥	\$0	0	\$0	\$40
2.10	Motes		50		\$0	•	50	0	\$0	0	50	,	\$4	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$4
2. O t	Competitiv Land Use	۰	\$0	0	50		50 50		\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$40	\$0
2.12	Social Impacas		\$0	0	±o	•	**	-	\$0 \$0	0	\$0 \$0	0	50	0	\$ 0	0	\$0	\$0
2.13	Induced Socioeconomic Impacts	•	\$0	0	\$0		\$0	•	\$0	0		0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$9
14	Air Quality	0	50	0	\$0		50	0	50	-	\$0	0	\$0	0	50	0	, \$0	\$0
2 15	Constal Zone Management/Coastal Sarriers	0	\$0	D	10		50		50 50	0	50	•	50	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
16	WM & Scenc Rivers		\$0	0	50	•	30		50 50	-	\$0	0	\$0	D	10	0	\$40	50
17	Farmland		\$0		\$0		50		50	•	50	•	50	0	\$0	0	50 j	\$e
148	Entryy Supply & Natural Resources	•	50		*		50		\$0	•	\$ 0	0	\$0	0	80	•	\$0	50
19	Light Envisoons		\$8	•	\$0	•	\$0		50	•	\$0 \$0	•	50	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
20	Sold Waste Impacts	0	50	0	20		50		40	•	30 80	•	\$0	o ·	\$0	•	\$0 [\$0
21	Construction Impacts		\$0		\$0		\$0	•					\$0	0	80	•	\$0	20
22	Cumulative Impacia/Other Consularations		50	•	20	•	\$6		**		\$0		520	0	\$0	0	90	\$20
	British Type 22		••	-	_	-		٠	200	0	\$0	2	10	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$a

-	l outen		HAPA	The Cont			PORTER!	INSTALL BALL			TARE		F 1 107	APPEN		OT:		Officer
		***	Owel		Cont	Ting Cont	\$1,880 Oset	Veda Over	Conf	Walt Comp	\$6.37 Opp	Viet Cart	(Dayed	West Coast	***	Week Cook		TOTAL
															Court		Com	COST
_																		•
	Profesionary Draft EA	0	50	•	20	•	*	•	50	0	\$0		50	•	\$0	•	50	\$0
3.2	Draft SA Properation	•	50	0	\$0	•	\$0	٠	\$0	•	\$0		\$0	0	\$0	•	80	80
	Submodul Took 80	•	•	•	**	•	**	•	**	•			20	•	pa	•	50	
	Fulfit involvency)																	1
	Mining List	•	\$20	•	30	•	**	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	80	•	\$0	50
	Public Intermeter Meeting	250	\$375	20	(400	2	12,000	•	\$0	80	\$30	•	\$0	•	\$0	*	20	\$2,805
4.3	Public Hearing	300	\$450	20	\$400	2	22.600	•	50	80	\$30	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$2,000
_	Britain Francisco	***	9026	**	\$000	•	\$4,000	•	**	**	300	•		•	90	1	50	\$0,004
	Draft EA Communit Analysis Draft EA Communit Analysis	_																
	• •	•	\$0	•	**	•	10	٠	\$0	•	\$4		50	•	\$4	•	\$0	, \$ 0
_	Subtaint Time Sil Pinni EA Report Propinsilan	•	**	•	90	•		٠	30	•	**	•	**	•	84	•		u u
	Profesionary Free EA Property Sign	_																l
	Final EA Properties	•	\$6	0	\$0	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	80	•	20	٥	\$0	\$0
-		•	\$6			•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$10	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	50
	3-20-20 (Fig. 25) 4	•	10	•	**	•	**	•	20	•	\$0	•	**	•	**	•	30	
	Part C: Environmental Permitting		\$825	•	****	•	\$4,000	1	\$000	**	240	78	E3+2	•	*	1	50	\$4,000
	Date Collection and Assessment																	ľ
	Wellands Field Investigation and Jurisdictional																	
	Determination	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	50	\$19	0	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$19
	Wellerd Jurisdictional Pappers	0	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	50	\$19	•	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$10
	Biolic Community Assessments	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	80	•	\$0	50	\$19	0	\$0	0	\$4	•	50	\$10
	Essential Fish Hobital Assessment	•	50	0	80	•	\$9	•	\$0	50	\$19	0	\$0	•	\$0	٥	\$0	\$15
1.5	Protected Species Assessment	0	80	0	60	•	80	•	\$0	50	\$18	e	\$0	•	\$0	0	\$0	\$19
	Substated Facility C1	•	90	•	54	•	**	•	\$0	210	403	•	**	•	\$4	•	50	963
	RSA Dealgn Serviçae																	
	Engineering Support for Alternatives Analysis	80	50 0	3	500	0	5 0	٥	\$0	80	319	50	\$200	•	80	0	- 80	\$300
	Conceptual Design of RSA Alternatives	0 0	\$90	3	160	•	\$0	•	\$0	50	\$19	50	\$200	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$300
	Professory Design of Professed RSA Alternative	80	\$40	3	\$80	•	\$0	•	\$0	50	\$19	50	\$200	•	80	0	\$0	\$300
4 1	Ergheering Support for Mitigation Design	80	\$00	3	500	0	50	•	\$0	50	\$18	50	\$200		\$0	٥	\$0	2300
	Submont Took C2	246	\$300	12	\$240	•	**	•	**	200	\$74	200	\$000	•	•	•	*	\$1,474
	Drainage Dealyn				4.													
	Data Collection and Review	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	90	•	50	25	50	20	\$80	0	\$0	0	60	500
	Printige Calculations	•	\$0	•	80	•	\$0	•	\$0	25	30	•	20	•	60	•	\$0	24
	Эсимаро Рицон	0	20	•	\$0	•	50	•	\$0	25	30	•	\$6	•	\$0	•	\$0	je .
	Irainago (hautyn Plana																	

Date:	May 25, 2001																	
Tapi	Constitutes		eovis .		TTON BONNES	COURT REPORTURE			MITA SEASON	POSTAGE		Page (PC x PC)		/even	empire		THE R	Othian
		Malt Open	S1_S0	Unit Cont	L Cost	Unit Occ	- State	Unit Cool	- State Cast	Unit Coat	9.9	Vest Coast	H	Total Cont		Veit Court		TOTAL
				ani neri	44441111111						Cont							
C4	Parent Applications and Magazines Papes											***************************************						
C4 1	Proposition of John Environmental Pleasures / 404 Parent Application	80	\$120		\$0		20		So	ėn.	\$20			_				
C4.2	Develop Profesiopry Milipation Plan	00	\$120		10		**		50 50	**	530 530	40	\$160	•	\$0	•	\$4	\$315
C4.3	Miligation Construction Plans	80	\$120		\$0		\$40	Δ.	žo	80		40	\$160	•	50	•	*	\$310
	Substant Fact C4	340	£300					·	**	340	\$30	120	\$400	0		•	\$0	. 2630
	Subtetul Part C	494	5730	12	2340		•			200	500 5302	200	\$000	•			**	\$1,300
	Part D: Mostings and Coordination					_	•	•	-	-	\$3844	-	\$2,000	•	-	•	**	\$3,332
81	Project Team Montings, Propositions, and Co																	
	Project Tears Meetings	***	\$750	25	****	_												
	Subtract Track S1		5790 6790	20 M	3500	•	**	•	20	800	\$165	0	\$0	0	50	400	50	\$1,435
		•••				•	-	•	**	-	3105	•	**	•	*	400	10	\$1,436
00	Recourse Agency Monthlys Presentations, and	i Coordination																}
	Persona Agency Meetings	250	\$375	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	250	800		30		50	٠	50	544
	Submer Tops (CC	200	\$376	•	**	•	90	•	14	200	803		\$4	•		•	90	5400
	Subtoini Port D	700	\$1,189	28	\$000	•	*	•	M	790	\$278	•		•	*		90	81,989
	Port E: Project Management																	
21	Project Management																	Į.
	Project Management	200	\$300	•	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	200	\$74	•	\$0	0	50		\$0	\$374
			\$0		\$0		so		\$0		50		\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
	Subtreat Tags &1	200	\$300	•	90	•	10	•	10	300	274		90	•	94		24	\$374
E2	Project Management Plan and Quality Assuran	co Plea																
	Project Management CA Plan	_	500		\$80		90	_										Ī
		-	 so	•	\$0	•	**	٠	\$0	40	\$15	10	\$40	•	50	0	\$0	\$195
	Substitute of Pages 67		-				-		50		\$0		\$0		\$0		90	\$0
E3	Progress Reporting	-		•	•	•	-	•	10	*	\$46	**	140	•	**	•	•	5106
	Progress Reports		30		\$ 0		80	_										1
	Submed Tree E3		20		»				\$0	•	50	•	50	0	\$0	•	\$0	30
	Subtotal Part E	244	5300	ž	300		-	:		•	\$0	•	90	•	**	•	•	
	Charles Carles and Charles Co.			•		•	-	•	**	346	-	**	340	•	**	•	**	1000
	Project Subtotal (Base EA Fee)	1720	\$3,406	191	\$2,020	•	\$5,000	1	\$800	1900	\$762	616	\$2,484	•	50	463	\$4,300	\$10,061
	Part F: Optional Tasks																	
	Fernal Boston 186 Countration for Historical	Printered pulse																
	Conduct 100 Countrialism Propers MOA	160	\$150	•	\$160	0	\$0	•	80	60	\$30	80	\$320	0	\$0	0	50	5000
FZ	Subtent Test P1	100	\$100	•	F100	•	\$0	•	**	20	\$36	*	\$220	•		•	**	\$000
	Biological Associant and Formal Scalins ? Co Protected Species Surveys			_		_	_											
	Assessment of Potential Impacts to Protected	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	50	30
	Species	•	\$0	0	\$0	•	50	0	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	\$0
	Development of Conservation Magazines	٠	50	•	\$0	•	po .	•	50	•	\$0		\$0	•	50	•	\$40	80
	Belogical Assessment Development	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	\$0	•	90	•	50	•	\$0	•	\$4	•	\$0	\$0
F2 5	Formal Septem 7 Consultation	160	\$190	20	5460	•	80	•	\$0	60	830		\$320	0	\$0	Ģ	**	5000
	Bulleted Topic P2	100	E100	39 '	\$400	•	**	•	**	**	\$20		\$350	•	80	•		2000
	Optional Tasks Buistelel (Part F)	200	\$300	20	\$000	•	**	•		100	600	100	3000	•		•	*	\$1,800
	Project Total	1030	\$2,705	129	\$2,000		35,000	•	***	2146	9021	77M	\$3,104	_	••		54,300	
					1507							779		-	_	483		E20.110

Moore-Bevette

From:

Susan.Moore@faa.gov

Sent:

Monday, June 28, 2004 10:56 AM

To:

Horton-Peter@monroecounty-fl.gov

Cc:

Moore-Bevette@monroecounty-fl.gov; Mil_Reisert@URSCorp.com

Subject:

EYW023: FAA OK for Contract for Environmental Assessment

Importance:

High

Peter

(This will supercede our previous EA approval letter of 12/23/03).

Our office has reviewed the scope & fees & independent estimate for the work.

Service order for \$930,816 is within 10% of independent estimate & is approved & eligible for fed participation

Let me know if you need formal letter; otherwise this serves as my/your file copy

Susan